

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_108960
Title of the Manuscript:	Chronic Myelomonocytic Leukaemia (CMML) and Vasculitis: A rare but known association
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The authors describe an elderly male patient with CMML and arteritis as an example of CMML complicated by SIAD, a well-known association. Their treatment with hydroxyurea reduced white blood cell count, and appeared to have diminished the arteritis. Authors state that this sequence of events suggests that SIAD may be a consequence of the CMML. The paper is well written, and the description is concise and clear. I have very little to suggest revisions.</p> <p>Just minor editorial suggestions below.</p> <p>Abstract,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. spell out "SIAD". This abbreviation appeared for the first time. 2. CMML was proven →the diagnosis of CMML was confirmed 3. Line 3, delete "noted" <p>Case report</p> <p>Instead of stating moderate splenomegaly, actual measurement is preferable (e.g. cm below the costal margin)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is well written, and the topic is relevant. I suggest a few minor revisions as stated above.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Susumu Inoue
Department, University & Country	Michigan State University, College of Human Medicine, USA