

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_108718
Title of the Manuscript:	INTEGRATING UGANDAN FAUNA AND FLORA INTO CERAMIC ART IDENTITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is very important, but the discussion part is not satisfying.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable and informative.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract highlighted the overall ideas of the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are well organized. Yes; however, the researchers are very careful and make clear methodology section. Particularly, they should reconsider research design and data collection tools and sample selection. Yes, but the author/s should revise the list of references because they are not well addressed following APA referencing style.</p> <p>As the main part of the manuscript, results and discussion part is very scanty. The author/s addressed the discussion of only two questions, but in the methodology part as 14/15 questions prepared for students /respondents was mentioned.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is well articulated and communicable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>No objectives of the article are indicated, so the author should add objectives of the manuscript in the separate section. They should reconsider results and discussion part, for it contains scanty point. This can make the manuscript incomplete.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muktar Ahmed Ware
Department, University & Country	Madda Walabu University, Ethiopia