

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105737
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of organic manures and inorganic phosphorus on soil fertility status (N, P and K) in Kharif rice
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The validity and importance of the research are subject to considerable scrutiny. The study is grounded on a two-year period of experimentation; yet, the methodology part lacks precision in terms of the experimental design, randomization procedures, and statistical analysis used. The inclusion of these specific facts is of utmost importance for the scientific community in order to evaluate the dependability of the study's findings.</p> <p>The title of the article is too broad and does not accurately reflect the scope of the study. A more appropriate title could be "Short-Term Effects of Organic Manures and Inorganic Phosphorus on Soil Nutrient Status in Kharif rice"</p> <p>The abstract of the paper is a concise summary of the study's aims, approach, and principal findings. Nevertheless, the provided information is insufficient as it fails to provide essential particulars, such as the explicit techniques used for statistical analysis and the possible ramifications of the results on sustainable agricultural practises. The primary purpose of an abstract is to provide a succinct overview of the essential elements of research.</p> <p>The Results and Discussion section is presented in a way that contains an excessive amount of unnecessary words, hence posing difficulties in extracting significant information. Enhancing the manuscript's readability and structure may be achieved by presenting the results in a more succinct and organised manner, followed by a focused discussion on the ramifications of these findings.</p> <p>The scientific accuracy of the manuscript is questionable due to several issues. The study lacks adequate replication and duration to draw robust conclusions about the effects of treatments on soil fertility. The absence of statistical details and the presentation of data without measures of variability further undermine the scientific rigor of the study.</p> <p>References are recent but not sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English proficiency shown in the paper is typically deemed suitable for academic discourse. Nevertheless, there are occurrences of inelegant wording, grammatical inaccuracies, and ambiguous statements that need refinement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samaneh Alijantabar Aghouzi
Department, University & Country	University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia