

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_109634</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of organic and inorganic manures on growth and yield of okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. moench) cv. Arka Anamika</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Effect of organic and inorganic manures on growth and yield of okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. moench) cv. Arka Anamika</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community since it talks about the effect of organic and inorganic manures on growth and yield of okra which is done in scientific in nature</li> <li>2. The abstract of the article was not comprehensive.</li> <li>3. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate.</li> <li>4. Yes, it is scientifically correct since it may improve the current growth and yield of okra in the region which literally contribute to the food production of the community</li> <li>5. The references are not sufficient enough</li> </ol> <p>Additional Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract should contain few sentences of introduction.</li> <li>2. the introduction show the essential topics to be discuss. The discussion should give emphasis on the potential effects of manures (organic and inorganic) to the okra. Also, cite or give some past studies with its result (if there is) a literature or studies about the said topic.</li> <li>3. For the methodology, all the parts would be discuss separately to each other and in full detailed.</li> <li>3. The data of the result seems not enough for the study. It should be more data were analysed and run in different statistical tools which include the use of inferential statistics like the difference between the two effects of the manures to the okra</li> <li>4. The references were not enough. Therefore, additional references should be inserted in the introduction and result to make the study more comprehensive.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jomell M. Santiago</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Philippines</b>