

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109633
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated application of inorganic, organic sources and biofertilizers on nutrient status of soil and aonla leaves under sub-tropics of Madhya Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The study will shed light on scientific and scientific studies. 2. The results of "nutritional status of leaves" stated in the title are not available, therefore the title should be revised or leaf nutrient concentrations should be given. 3. Abstract; It should start by giving information about the subject and purpose of the study. The result and discussion of the study are expressed by adding leaf nutrient concentrations and the result expressed in this data. When these are done, the power of expressing the scope of the study will increase. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. I think the study is scientifically accurate. 6. More current references can be given. 7. In the material and method section, the isolation and identification of bacteria and how the microorganism population was determined should be written. 8. In the material method section, it should be stated with which device the element concentrations in leaf samples were determined. 9. In the conclusion and discussion section, the results of the leaf analysis should be mentioned. 10. In the conclusion and discussion section, the effects of bacteria on nitrogen and phosphorus solubilisation and plant uptake, as well as the effects of inoculating bacteria singly or together, should be discussed better. In addition, clearly mentioning the effect of bacteria in the results section will better emphasise the importance of biological fertilisers and the results of the study. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Article language is clear and understandable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	It is appropriate to publish it by making corrections and additions requested in the study.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayşegül Korkmaz
Department, University & Country	Selcuk University, Türkiye