

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_109287</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Inverted umbrella type rainwater harvesting system for terrace gardening at Raichur campus</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>It may be suitable if the content is re-written to match the title.</p> <p>No.</p> <p>No.</p> <p>Scientific robustness is inadequate.</p> <p>More references can be added if the manuscript is re-written.</p> <p>The methodology does not tell how they developed the system, including its structure and function. Also, there is no real performance evaluation of the system. Instead, they have just presented the calculated potential for rainwater harvesting.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	No.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	To publish in a journal, this manuscript must be re-written with solid information in the introduction, detailed methodology, presentation of the design and function of the system and a proper performance evaluation.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kamani Ratnayake</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka</b>