

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_109163
Title of the Manuscript:	Orange Peel Waste Improved the Growth Performance, Feed Utilization, Oxidative Stress and Hematological Parameters of Labeo rohita
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript has provided some new information to science on the effects on growth, feed utilization, Hematological parameters, and oxidative enzymes of orange peel on <i>Labeo rohita</i>. 2. The article title is quite suitable for the content. 3. The article's abstract is fairly comprehensive, but it needs a little more information about the experimental design and a few more words to check for accuracy. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are OK. 5. This manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. There are no suggestions for additional references, but more error checking is needed. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is of quite good quality, but needs to provide some information for clear (see Review>Comments function in attached .doc file).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danh Mo
Department, University & Country	Kiangiang University, Vietnam