

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109658
Title of the Manuscript:	Framing of Deforestation Issues within Daily News and The Guardian Newspapers in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript opines an interesting finding on how deforestation matters are reported in some of the leading print outlets in Tanzania. However, the scientific community would have benefited more if they understood why the papers choose to report the way they do and if the author could have proffered ways in which the masses would benefit from deforestation stories using the appropriate frames.</p> <p>The title of the article is okay.</p> <p>The abstract has done fairly well. It would be more appropriate if a summary of the methodology was also mentioned in the abstract.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are well structured.</p> <p>The manuscript can be termed to scientifically correct partially. This stems from the limited scope of methodology that the study employed.</p> <p>Most of the citations used are old. It would be good to have more recent citations in the manuscript that are not more than 5 years old.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is somewhat lacking and may need to be enhanced significantly.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wentland Muhatiah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>African Technology Policy Studies Network, Kenya</b>