

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109650
Title of the Manuscript:	HEADTEACHERS MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND ITS INFLUENCE ON TEENAGE PREGNANCIES IN SCHOOLS IN TANA RIVER SUB COUNTY, TANA RIVER COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it elucidates the importance of support and communication to adolescent girls as a major factor in preventing teenage pregnancies.</p> <p>The title is appropriate</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>The subsections are appropriate. However, they are very elaborate. It can be made crisp to keep the importance of this study more highlighted than the long literature review, which is unnecessary for the article.</p> <p>References are adequate</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English is appropriate and comprehensible.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The methodology needs to be in detail. • Who were the participants? How many ("n") should be mentioned in results section in each table. • The details of what support practices and communication practices need to be detailed. • Can be accepted after these minor revisions 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandrika R. Doddihal
Department, University & Country	BLDE Deemed To Be University, India