

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109650
Title of the Manuscript:	HEADTEACHERS MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND ITS INFLUENCE ON TEENAGE PREGNANCIES IN SCHOOLS IN TANA RIVER SUB COUNTY, TANA RIVER COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript provides new insights on the scientific community. I haven't encountered similar studies yet, so I presume that this address that gap.</p> <p>The title is suitable. However, I suggest consistency on the terminology. The title expressed "HEADTEACHERS". In some parts of the manuscript, it's "Head Teacher's". Isn't it supposed to be "Head Teachers"?</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive. However, I would suggest that a short recommendation, probably one sentence, may be added on the last sentence.</p> <p>Subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>I understand that since the study is heavily attached to older theories, there are really references that are older than 10 years. So, I suppose references are sufficient.</p> <p>In sampling techniques, can the researchers provide rationale why stratified sampling is the appropriate sampling technique of the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I would like to commend the researchers for coming up with this manuscript. This will surely add to new knowledge to the field.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arnel S. Traverro
Department, University & Country	College of Arts and Sciences, University of Science and Technology of Southern Philippines, Philippines