

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_108374
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenomenological Study of Budget Management at the Jambi City Education Office
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>To some extent</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It is better not to be too detailed in the numbers in the abstract</li> <li>- Innovation should be mentioned in the abstract</li> <li>- Insert references at the end of each paragraph in the introduction section</li> <li>- It is better to insert LITERATURE REVIEW section in the manuscript</li> <li>- It is better to make a general edit before printing.</li> <li>- It should be noted contribution of this article</li> <li>- The conclusion section has no detailed explanation. Also, no comparison has been made with the results of previous research mentioned in this research (In terms of matching or non- matching to this study)</li> <li>- Follow the general format of writing a scientific article</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mahdi Filsaraei</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hakim Toos Higher Education institute, Iran</b>