

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_109115
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of attitude, subjective norms, self-efficacy, controllability and behavioural intentions on pro-environmental action
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>The manuscript is important for scientific community.</b> The study provides insights on the influence of individual human behaviour on the activities that have impacts on the natural environment.</p> <p>2. <b>The title could benefit some improvement,</b> hence, I have suggested the alternative title in the manuscript</p> <p>3. <b>The abstract is comprehensive and it could also benefit some few improvements</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are correct</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</b></p> <p>6. <b>Some sections such as the background of the study, and the discussion have statements and consensus that need to be provided with justifiable citations.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, however, some parts could benefit from improved grammar	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author should avoid redundancy, since some information is repeated several times in the manuscript (e.g. the emphasis on the urgency of environmental degradation)</li> <li>- Consider grammar and style throughout the text, then condense the highlighted paragraphs by rephrasing long or repeated sentences.</li> <li>- Consider a sound connection between paragraphs, and each paragraph should contribute directly to the main objective.</li> <li>- The specific objectives are not clearly stated</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ezra Peter Mremi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania</b>