

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_108771
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIES FOR REDUCING DEMURRAGE AT THE DOUALA CONTAINER TERMINALS (RTC TERMINAL) DURING IMPORTATION
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The study sheds light on the concept of demurrage, its role in port decongestion and impact on importers. The research highlights strategies that could be employed to limit negative effects of demurrage on cargo importers. The study thus contributes to literature on the discourse of demurrage and port management.</p> <p>The title accurately suits the objectives and scope of the study.</p> <p>Abstract is quite concise and straight on point. Author adhered to the standard structure of writing abstract.</p> <p>Manuscript structure follows IMRAD format. However, literature review should incorporate elements of critical review. Author should try to critique the concept of demurrage with citations from different studies supporting and opposing the idea. In-depth critique of its economic importance, from perspectives of the various actors, could also be attempted.</p> <p>Findings from the study are scientifically acceptable. Since the study employed only descriptive statistics in analysing data, author should make use of more charts to describe collected data.</p> <p>Most of the references are recent and well formatted.</p> <p>Descriptive statistics need to be well formatted being the basis of analysis. Author could make use of column and bar charts plotted in Excel and imported into Word document for better visual illustration.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The report is comprehensible and well structured. Kindly change all passive sentences to active tense. Also, keep sentences short and simple. The word protagonist is somewhat alien to academic writing. Consider using "parties", "players" or "actors" to denote individuals in a system.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Oluleye Seun Ogunmola</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ekiti State University, Nigeria</b>