

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_109055
Title of the Manuscript:	Post-streptococcal nummular keratitis: A new clinical entity.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) Topic is important but number of cases mentioned are insufficient to prove clinical correlation. Clinical picture and associated findings are not statistically significant.</p> <p>2) Post streptococcal nummular keratitis - a case series. (minimum 5 cases required for series)</p> <p>3) Abstract is not structured.</p> <p>4) Subsection should be introduction, material and methods, results, discussion, and conclusion.</p> <p>5) Manuscript doesn't fit under original article or case series.</p> <p>6) Vancouver style referencing system should be followed. References are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor language alterations are needed. Quality of English can be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Clinical association of cases and lab findings is not co relative. Statistical significance proof required. Also, discussion is very lengthy and not providing extra information. Concise and methodical write up is expected.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ketaki Tushar Bhat
Department, University & Country	JMF'S Anna Saheb Chudaman Patil Memorial Medical College, University of Health Sciences, India