

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Engineering Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JERR_108670 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Big Data in Information Systems |
| Type of the Article | Review |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The manuscript title "Big data in information system" looks a review paper though the title is not in tandem with the abstract and introduction. Big data is an important scientific area which requires adequate attention</p> <p>The title should be a review work. "Big Data and Information System: A Review"</p> <p>The abstract is too bogus and full of unnecessary contents. Abstract should be briefed and summarized the content of the article</p> <p>No. The subsections need to be properly labelled, styled, indented, and structured</p> <p>The manuscript is not scientific and have very insignificant contributions</p> <p>There are lots of research articles in the field of big data. This review lacks adequate review in high rated journal like scopus and web of science. Author can get quality materials in these suggested journals to improve the review</p> <p>The paper is a review paper but lacks contributions in terms of the kind review, identification of research problems on the areas, the identification of research gaps in the area, the comparison of the previous literatures, and categorization of research works.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>The paper is poorly written with full of grammatical omissions and commissions. The presentation is also poor with no graphical and statistical contents. The paper should be improved and follow the journal guidelines for publication</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px;"> <p>The paper is poorly written with full of grammatical omissions and commissions. The presentation is also poor with no graphical and statistical contents. The paper should be improved and follow the journal guidelines for publication. Editor should ensure the test of similarity index test to avoid plagiarisim and putting journal name in black. Editor should also ensure the paper is presented correctly and free of grammatical omissions and commissions before publication</p> <p>Best.</p> </div> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Olawale Surajudeen Adebayo |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University of Technology, Nigeria, Islamic University in Uganda, Uganda |