

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_108948
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTIVE VALUE OF PRETREATMENT HAEMATOLOGICAL MARKERS IN CERVICAL CANCER IN A THIRD LEVEL HEALTH INSTITUTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES, THE SUBJECT IS NEW AND POPULAR. NLR PLR RATIOS HAVE BEEN FOUND TO BE IMPORTANT IN PREDICTING ADVANCED GYNECOLOGICAL CANCERS. IN MY OPINION, THIS IS NOT THE FACT BUT STILL THE TOPIC STILL WORTHS TO STUDY ON IT TO CONCLUDE. (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>REFERENCES ARE OK IN GENERAL. HOWEVER, NOT ONLY IN CERVICAL CANCER, BUT ALSO IN ENDOMETRIAL CANCER PLR IS A MARKER FOR ADVANCED BUT NOT FOR NLR. THE SAME FINDING IS AVAILABLE AT Firat A, Ercan A, Mordeniz C, Verit Atmaca FF. Predictive value of hemogram parameters in malignant transformation of the endometrium in patients with different risk factors. PLoS One. 2023 Jan 10;18(1):e0279224. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0279224. PMID: 36626395; PMCID: PMC9831310.</p> <p>PLEASE CITE THIS STUDY WHEN YOU MENTION ON GGENERAL GYNECOLOGIC CANCERS. ALL OTHER REFERENCES ARE GOOD AND UP TO DATE.</p> <p>(TOPIC OF THE SUBJECT, GENERAL DESIGN, LANGUAGE (SIMPLE AND EASSY TO UNDERSTAND, EASILY READABLE), SUBHEADINGS IN THE TEXT, REFERENCES ARE ALL WELL DESIGNED AND WRITTEN.</p> <p>PLR IS MOSTLY FOUND TO BE MORE IMPORTANT ESPECIALLY IN ADVANCED GYN CANCERS, BUT IN MY OPINION THIS SUBJECT WILL CONCLUDE IN NO SPECIFIC RESULTS IN SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY. HOWEVER, THE SUBJECT SHOULD BE STUDIED A BIT MORE TO HAVE A FINAL CONCLUSION.</p> <p>THE MANUSCRIPT IS INTERESTING, WELL WRITTEN, AND CAN BE PUBLISHED IN THIS FORM. I ONLY RECOMMEND AUTHORS TO ADD ONE MORE REFERENCE ON OTHER GYN TUMORS SUCH AS ENDOMETRIAL CANCER WITH SIMILAR RESULTS AS STATED ABOVE.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aysun Firat
Department, University & Country	Türkiye