

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_109094</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of effect of sowing dates and genotypes on chickpea yield using CROPGROW- chickpea (DSSAT v 4.7) model for western Haryana</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is valuable to the scientific community because it assesses how the timing of planting and different chickpea varieties affect crop yield in western Haryana using a reliable model.</p> <p>The title of the article, "Assessment of Effect of Sowing Dates and Genotypes on Chickpea Yield Using CROPGROW-Chickpea (DSSAT v 4.7) Model for Western Haryana" is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and purpose of the study.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, its sufficient the only thing you have to check reference pattern and correct some minor mistakes that mentioned in article itself.</p> <p>Check comments mentioned in article and do correction.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>K. P. Suresh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR-NIVEDI, India</b>