

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109034
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of thermophysical seed treatments on plant growth, yield and diseases incidence in bell pepper
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Absolutely! this manuscript on the effect of thermophysical seed treatment on bell pepper growth is important for the scientific community. It adds valuable knowledge and insights to the field of agriculture and plant growth. Your research findings could potentially contribute to the development of more effective and sustainable farming practices.</p> <p>2. The title "Effect of thermophysical seed treatments on plant growth, yield and diseases incidence in bell pepper" sounds great! It clearly indicates what the study is about and piques curiosity.</p> <p>3. Definitely! The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive. It provides a concise summary of the study's objectives, methods, and key findings related to the effect of thermophysical seed treatment on bell pepper growth, yield and diseases. It gives a good overview before diving into the full article. Great job!</p> <p>4. The subsections and structures of the manuscript are appropriate, but methodology can be write down under appropriate subtitles for better understanding, if not conflict with the journal templates.</p> <p>5. Author does followed proper research methodology and included relevant data and analysis, it's likely that this paper is scientifically correct. It presents well-supported research findings and follows established scientific methodologies.</p> <p>6. Yeah, the references in the manuscript are good.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality of the article is good, but there is room for improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Author can include recommendation in the manuscript, Like, combination of the best effective treatments could be done for future studies and also assess the cost effectiveness in field trials.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazmoon Naher Tonu
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh