

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108755
Title of the Manuscript:	Population fluctuation with climatic condition of Gram pod borer <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hub.) on Chickpea crops in Sultanpur region of Eastern U.P.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community, because investigating the role of climate change on the spread and management of plant pests and diseases in different parts of the world has a key role on food security. 2. The title of the article is appropriate. 3. The abstract of the article needs revision, which is mentioned as a comment in the text. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but it is necessary to include the conclusion section at the end of the report. 5. The manuscript is largely scientifically correct. 6. Considering the volume of the article or rather the report, sufficient references are relatively sufficient, but they urgently need to be updated. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The quality of the language of the article is suitable for scientific communication.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Reza Zargaran Khouzani
Department, University & Country	Khuzestan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Iran