

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_108628
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECTS OF THE COEXISTENCE DESPITE IMMUNIZATION OF COVID-19 AND RUBELLA INFECTION IN PREGNANT FEMALES AND THEIR NEONATES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript addresses a critical topic, addressing the occurrence of Rubella and Coronavirus infections in expectant mothers who have received immunizations against these pathogens. The importance of this study in understanding the rare coexistence of these infections during pregnancy is evident. However, several aspects need attention to enhance the manuscript for resubmission.</p> <p>Exploring the occurrence of Rubella and Coronavirus infections in immunized expectant mothers is timely and important, especially considering the global context of infectious diseases and vaccination efforts.</p> <p>The manuscript clearly outlines the objective of investigating the reasons behind the coexistence of these infections, providing a focused approach to the study.</p> <p>The manuscript lacks an in-depth review of existing literature on Rubella and Coronavirus infections during pregnancy. Incorporating relevant studies and findings would provide a strong foundation for the research, adding context and depth to the review.</p> <p>The manuscript does not provide details about the research methods employed, such as the sample size, data collection methods, or statistical analyses conducted. A transparent methodology section is crucial for the study's credibility and replicability.</p> <p>The abstract contains repetitive statements, which could be streamlined for clarity. Ensure that the flow of information is logical and concise. Organize the content into clear sections, addressing aspects such as background, methodology, findings, and conclusions.</p> <p>If there are findings from previous research or data analysis, they should be included in the abstract. A brief summary of key results and their implications for expectant mothers would strengthen the manuscript's impact.</p> <p>The abstract lacks a concise conclusion summarizing the key findings and their significance. It should clearly state the implications of the study, potential recommendations, and avenues for future research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Carefully proofread the manuscript to ensure correct grammar, syntax, and terminology. Clear and precise language enhances the manuscript's readability and impact</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Addressing these points will significantly enhance the manuscript's quality, making it more compelling and suitable for resubmission to the journal. Providing a comprehensive literature review, transparent methodology, clear data interpretation, and a focused conclusion will strengthen the manuscript's overall contribution to the field.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nadia Noreen
Department, University & Country	Pakistan