

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_109375</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nurses' Experience of Self-Management Support for Hospitalised Cardiac Patients: A Descriptive Qualitative Study</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript holds considerable significance within the scholarly community, namely in the domains of nursing, cardiology, and healthcare management. This study offers significant insights into the involvement of nurses in promoting self-management strategies among cardiac patients who are admitted to the hospital. It specifically identifies areas where nursing care can be enhanced and patient outcomes can be improved. This is particularly pertinent in light of the rising incidence of cardiovascular ailments and the significance of self-care in the management of chronic illnesses.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate as it succinctly encapsulates the main focus of the study - exploring nurses' experiences in supporting hospitalized cardiac patients' self-management. It is clear and informative, effectively summarizing the content and purpose of the study.</p> <p>The abstract tells everything need to know about the study's purpose, background, methods, findings, and conclusions. It sums up the most important parts of the study and tells readers what to expect from the full manuscript. It's a good idea to include what this implication for future practice.</p> <p>The manuscript is well-organized and has the right number of subsections and organization. They make sense: they start with the purpose of the study, then list the materials and methods, show the results, and end with a discussion and conclusion. This structure makes it easier to understand and logically presents the study.</p> <p>From the information given, it looks like the manuscript is scientifically right. The detailed qualitative research design, which was based on Orem's self-care deficit theory, and the thorough data analysis approach show that the study was conducted in a good way. It looks like the study process was thorough and well-documented.</p> <p>As shown, the references used seem to be enough and important to the study. They seem to support the theoretical approach and analysis in the right way. But adding more recent sources, especially ones <b>written after 2002</b>, might make the study more relevant to how nurses work now and how cardiac care is changing.</p> <p>1. Can utilize <b>standard keywords</b> from the MeSH listed keywords. List in alphabetical order                  2. The first two paragraphs of the Participant Selection and Research Preparation section <b>are duplicated</b>.                  3. The <b>participant count</b> varies between the data collection, abstract, and results sections.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Edwin Jose. L</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>