

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_109375
Title of the Manuscript:	Nurses' Experience of Self-Management Support for Hospitalised Cardiac Patients: A Descriptive Qualitative Study
Type of the Article	Qualitative Study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It is important to address the thoughts of nurses who care for heart patients about self-care management. Nurse support is important in increasing patients' self-care activities. It is important to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The summary is comprehensive and sufficient. But the heading "Patient or Public Contribution" in the summary section is not appropriately explained. Keywords should be rearranged according to Mesh.</p> <p>4. A current source can be used instead of the source "Rao et al., 2002" used in the introduction. "Cardiac patients can understand and follow self-management plans if they are implemented in the healthcare system and tailored to their needs. No reference has been added to the paragraph starting with the sentence " and the following paragraph. Sources should be added to these paragraphs. Method: Details should be given about the semi-structured form created. Additionally, the resources used when creating this form should also be added. Table titles should be at the top of the table (Table 2's title is at the bottom) The infrastructure and sections of the article are appropriate. Below are my additional suggestions.</p> <p>5. I think the article is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. There are missing sources in the literature.</p> <p>1. Otter, C. E., Keers, J. C., Smit, J., Schoonhoven, L., & de Man-van Ginkel, J. M. (2023). 'Nurses' self-management support to hospitalized patients: A scoping review. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 32(9-10), 2270-2281.</p> <p>2. La Sala, R., Dicembrino, R. B., Dall'Argine, S., Baiguera, M., Gazzotti, L., Gubin, E., ... & Mamei, I. (2017). Nurse training in self-management of patients with cardiovascular diseases: a multicentre observational study. Acta Bio Medica: Atenei Parmensis, 88(Suppl 5), 22.</p> <p>3. Gholami, M., Talaei, A. A., Tarrahi, M. J., Taqi, F. M., Galehdar, N., & Pirinezhad, P. (2021). The effect of self-management support program on patient activation and inner strength in patients with cardiovascular disease. Patient Education and Counseling, 104(12), 2979-2988.--- This resource can be added to the introduction for support.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Derya Şimşekli
Department, University & Country	Ardahan University, Atatürk University, Turkey