

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109757
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Center Learning Model for Children's Metacognitive Stimulation in Kindergarten
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This text is interesting in studying learning behavior in elementary grades, especially in the golden age.</p> <p>2. This article is quite standard in creating titles and can be understood.</p> <p>3. The abstract still raises questions about how the research method was carried out and how to select such cases. So a simple further explanation is needed.</p> <p>4. abstract: the alphabet applies in writing. The advantages of this model have no comparison, why should it be shown, what are the advantages and what are the weaknesses, which have not been explained in more detail and focus? This manuscript is basically in accordance with the structure of writing that is appropriate to its environment.</p> <p>5. This manuscript is in accordance with metacognitive scientific principles.</p> <p>6. The references used are very outdated and need to be updated with up-to-date references. References should be added at least 20 references to strengthen the author's argument. References can be obtained from educational science or educational psychology.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is in accordance with the explanation of the problem and discussion as well as the solution to answer the research question</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Researchers are advised to enrich comparisons with the results of previous research. And look for the best articles to strengthen the argument.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ali Alamsyah Kusumadinata</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Djuanda, Indonesia</b>