

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_109140
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance, nutrient utilization and blood constituents of goats fed different inclusion levels of soursop leaf powder in diets containing fluted pumpkin pulp
Type of the Article	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Importance: the authors assessed growth performance, nutrient utilization, and blood constituents of goats-fed diets containing fluted pumpkin pulp and different proportions of soursop leaf powder. 2. Title: Not suitable with many abbreviations and preferred to be modified into “Soursop Leaf and Pumpkin Pulp Diets Concerning Performance, Nutrient Utilization and Blood Profile of Goats”. 3. Abstract: Provide an opening statement of one or two short sentences as a background on the problem. Some modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding. 4. Structure of the manuscript: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Keywords: Re-arrange in alphabetical order as suggested. b. Introduction: Properly displayed, clear, and comprehensible. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. c. The aim: Clear to a greater extent. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. d. Materials and methods: Please notice the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No ethical approval and considerations were provided. 2. Provide the biosecurity measured installed in the goat’s pens to protect them. 3. Provide a reference for the performance indices measured. 4. Provide the model and manufacturer of the colorimeter used in the study for measuring some biochemical parameters. 5. Provide the statistical model used for the analysis. 6. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. e. Results: clear to a certain extent. The level of significance cannot be listed in case of non-significant results. Reduce the illustrations of numbers and normal ranges as they are listed in tables. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. f. Discussion: Clear, informative, and contributes to knowledge with moderate comparison and speculation. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. g. Conclusion: Clear to a certain extent. A few modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. h. Tables: Well-presented and organized. 5. Scientific Soundness: The manuscript holds a novel and sound scientific theory and hypothesis. 6. References: Sufficient as 32.1% (9 out of 28) of the listed references were published in the past five years. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>General view: The manuscript was expressed in moderate English and grammar. Copyediting and proofreading have to be carried out before resubmission to achieve publishing value.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Essam Samir Soliman
Department, University & Country	Suez Canal University, Egypt