

Review Form 1.7

Magazine name:	Journal of International Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript number:	Mrs_JEAI_108264
Manuscript title:	"Design and development of a three-row manual drive type crushing wheel for efficient agricultural sowing"
Item type	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review comments

	reviewer comment	Author's Comment (If you agree with the reviewer, please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory for authors to write their comments here)
<p>Mandatory REVIEW Comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences about this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article appropriate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the article summary complete?</p> <p>4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript shows:</p> <p>The key words must be worked with the UNESCO THESAURUS, which is a universal glossary that allows the study to be located within a specific spectrum of sciences: Design – Agricultural machine – Economy – Society...</p> <p>The problem is justified in a pertinent way with literature appropriate to the topic.</p> <p>As it is a construction of original equipment for the agricultural system, the materials respond to the need where its permanent application is intended; The context and sector to be applied is ideal, as is the random design and the formulas applied to read the results.</p> <p>The system of units used is relevant, the results and new findings that they provide to small farmers are significant, optimizing physical work and improving times and their possible profits. As this is an original study, there is no need to compare the results with similar studies. It would be important to indicate future lines of research based on the findings determined in this work.</p> <p>The conclusions are found around the stated objective.</p> <p>2. Suggested: Implementation of a three-row manual traction type crushing wheel that allows efficient agricultural sowing</p> <p>3. The objective, methodology, results and conclusions are relevant to the proposed research.</p> <p>4. The structure is relevant.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. As this is an original research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVIEW Comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for academic communications?</p>	Appropriate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador