

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_108190
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Factor VII Polymorphism on Responsiveness to Warfarin Anticoagulant Therapy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>[1] The manuscript is of great relevance to the scientific community, especially with regard to the prevention of vascular emergencies with the use of anticoagulant drugs.</p> <p>[2] The title is brief, but enlightening for those in the field. I would suggest a verb at the beginning and perhaps changing the term "impact" to influence and finally to the use of Warfarin.</p> <p>[3] The abstract describes the methodology, results and conclusions well.</p> <p>[4] The parts of the manuscript are in line with the results, which proved to be easy to read, even with the large text.</p> <p>[5] In general, the parts of the manuscript are in line with scientific rigor.</p> <p>[6] The references are in line with the methodological proposal for a quantitative and experimental study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The technical language of the manuscript is clear and the tables and figures better elucidate the text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Victorino Claus
Department, University & Country	Franciscan University, Brazil