

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109485
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Rhizobium, PSB and Mo on growth of different varieties of kabuli chickpea (Cicer kabulinum L.).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The author studied about the effect of Rhizobium, PSB and Mo on growth of different varieties of kabuli chickpea. The study comprised six treatments of Inoculants I1-Control, I2-Rhizobium(Rh.)+phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) seed inoculation, I3-Molybdenum (Mo)@0.5 g AM* kg-1 seed, I4-Molybdenum @1.0 g AM kg-1 seed, I5-Rh.+PSB+Mo seed treatment @0.5g AM kg-1 seed, I6-Rh.+PSB+Mo seed treatment 1.0g AM kg-1 seed and two varieties V1-RVSJKG 102 V2-Phule G 0517, evaluated in Factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with three replications. The author found that the seed inoculation, I6 Rh.+ PSB + Mo@1 g AM kg-1 seed was found best among other inoculants with respect to Growth in chickpea, and Variety Phule G 0517 produced higher values of growth and yield attributing .</p> <p>Details of treatment and their results are described widely. The author suggests that the experiment may be repeated over years and location with more accuracy. References are recent and title also suit with manuscript. The paper can be published.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yashwant Singh
Department, University & Country	Government College, India