

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109351
Title of the Manuscript:	Transforming Horticulture: The Influence of Nanotechnology on Crop Enhancement
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, Because Non-Technology is the best way to growth enhancement of plants, Save the environment from Hazards and chemical pollution</p> <p>It is almost suitable for the title of this article, It May also be modified "The Impact of Nanotechnology on Crop Enhancement in Transforming Horticulture"</p> <p>It is too short near about 130 words, I can near about 300 words. The abstract contains totally AI Content. Not Unique or Creative</p> <p>Yes, it is appropriate</p> <p>A such not scientifically correct because it's totally AI content</p> <p>1st reference year is missing, Different font styles are used in references. How is it can possible if content from AI, and Reference come from? The manuscript lacks sufficient references to support the claims and findings presented. Additionally, some of the references cited are outdated, with the most recent one being from 2012. Including more recent and relevant studies would strengthen the scientific basis of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Mbecause I SION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, Improvements in the language quality would significantly enhance the manuscript's scientific rigor and clarity.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript has the potential to make a valuable contribution to the field of horticulture and nanotechnology. With some revisions and additional data presentation, it can become an even more compelling piece of research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahir Mahmood
Department, University & Country	The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan