

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109283
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of nutrient status in the cassava growing tracts of Southern Laterites (Agro Ecological Unit 8), Kerala, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is very important for scientific community because an agro ecological unit (AEU) is characterized by distinct ecological responses to the macro environmental elements, which are reflected in the vegetation, soils and agricultural land use. So, AEU used for assessment of nutrient status in the cassava.</p> <p>Yes, the title of this article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of this article comprehensive to some extent.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate to some extent after modification. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct after modification of some words which it writes by red colour.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent but must you repair some words which write by red colour.</p> <p>No, Thanks, these comments are enough.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, language/English quality of the article is very good and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Fathy Zaki Soliman
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt