

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109171
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of weather parameters on incidence of white fly population and soybean yellow mosaic virus disease
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In India, YMD is causing yield losses varied from 10 to 88% in soybean annually. Management of YMD in a particular region depends largely on the management of vector (<i>Bemisia tabaci</i>) population. It necessitates in-depth investigation on the relationship of whitefly population and prevalence of the virus. Finding the degree of relationship between YMD and weather factors helps in developing the weather-based prediction rule for its peak incidence. The manuscript is definitely important for the scientific community.</p> <p>Impact of weather parameters on incidence of white fly population and yellow mosaic disease (YMD) in soybean.</p> <p>Yes, with the corrections.</p> <p>1. Restructuring of the subsections is required as mentioned in the manuscript review. 2. Mentioned corrections and additions in the manuscript should be made for Materials and methods and Results & Discussion. 3. Materials and methods section requires information.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>1. Yes. 2. Few references mentioned are missing in References subsection.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language needs improvement to meet the requirements of scholarly communications. Author is requested to go through already published articles.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K Jyothirmai Madhavi
Department, University & Country	Dr YSR Horticultural University, India