

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJESS_109504  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The impact of interactive reading intervention on vocabulary development of Deaf and Hard of Hearing Students at Wangsel Institute of the Deaf |
| Type of the Article      | Research paper   |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>1. Yes it is. The research may come up with relevant methodology to teach vocabulary to Deaf and Hard of Hearing Students.</p> <p>2. The title is good.</p> <p>3. The abstract is clear</p> <p>4. Some are not. Under result section, research questions are not answered, The author may clarify results referring them to research questions<br/>References are enough and well written.</p> <p>5. The conclusion is not clear. It may be guided by the title and research questions. More recommendations are needed and may be inspired by the limitation of the study.</p> <p>6. References are good. Even if some of them are not recent.</p> <p>I attach the manuscript with comments</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>The manuscript is well readable.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>The topic. Problem and research questions may guide the ideas from the beginning to the end.</p>   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Anastasié Uwababyeyi</b>         |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Rwanda, Rwanda</b> |