

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_109394
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NURSING BURNOUT AND JOB PERFORMANCE IN ERADAH COMPLEX; A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is relevant to the current academic debates and important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is interesting; however, it is suggested that the semi colon (;) be replaced with the colon (:). E.g. the title may read as: The relationship between nursing burnout and job performance in Eradah Complex: A cross-sectional study</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive and nicely captured.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is not fair. Refer to the suggestions below (7. Additional Suggestions).</p> <p>5. The scientific correctness of this manuscript is average.</p> <p>6. The references are relevant but the method of acknowledging the sources is not correct. The Correct way of acknowledging the sources is written in red in the text. Follow the examples and correct as appropriate. Make corrections throughout the manuscript. Secondly, the reference list should be arranged in an alphabetical order</p> <p>7. Additional suggestions: The structure may include the following elements (subsections):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement of the problem. • Research questions/research hypotheses. • Review of related literature. <p>The introduction is too long. It may need to be shortened.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language suitability for scholarly communications is fair, however, there are some grammatical mistakes which need to be attended. Some comments are made in the text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Isaiah M. Makhetha
Department, University & Country	National University of Lesotho, Lesotho