

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_109446
Title of the Manuscript:	Long Term Results of Core Decompression as an Alternative to Total Hip Arthroplasty in Avascular Necrosis of Femoral Head
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The manuscript is about some method of orthopaedic surgery and their comparison</p> <p>2- Yes. It is.</p> <p>3- Yes, but the titles of abstract are not according to journal format.</p> <p>4- Yes, but some subsection can combine.</p> <p>5- No Idea.</p> <p>6- They are sufficient but most of them are not recent. The reference number 11 is not at the text.</p> <p>7- The first names of researchers should not appear in the text (introduction).</p> <p>8- They are many abbreviations in the manuscript which were not introduced.</p> <p>9- What is bidirectional observational study?</p> <p>10- pain relief status, Harris hip score, stage of the disease etc. should introduced in method section.</p> <p>11-Ficat staging system (1985) is not correct form of referencing.</p> <p>12-What is (Peri)?</p> <p>13- in (...surgery as a elective procedure...) (a) should replace with (an).</p> <p>14- in (...No significant difference was found inside of affected limb.) which test was used?</p> <p>15-What is stage in Table 2?</p> <p>16-The lines below table 2 should move to method section.</p> <p>17- Which test was used in Table 3 and 5?</p> <p>18- How mean and SD were calculated in table 4 (In method section)?</p> <p>19-All the percent with one decimal place everywhere.</p> <p>20-There are some p-values after table 5, which tests were used there?</p> <p>21-Most of discussion is the repetition of the results and there is no comparison of the result with other study. Also, there is no reference in discussion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is fine.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masoud Roudbari
Department, University & Country	Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran