

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJESS_ 109114
Title of the Manuscript:	Opinions of B.Ed Faculty Members in the Cuddalore district of Tamil Nadu about e-learning Materials for the Course 'Understanding Disciplines and School Subjects'
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The following topics will be covered in this discussion: the paradigm shifts in the nature of disciplines; some discussion on the history of the teaching of subject areas in schools; and the nature and role of disciplinary knowledge in the school curriculum. The subjects of language, mathematics, the social sciences, and science, among others, are at the center of a traditional school education.</p> <p>The article's title is unsuitable because it contains too much information and is too long. Make an effort to oversimplify the situation.</p> <p>Although the abstract of the article covers a lot of ground, you should still make an effort to make it more understandable and streamlined.</p> <p>The manuscript has appropriate subsections, as well as a general organizational structure.</p> <p>The manuscript adheres to the accepted standards of the scientific community.</p> <p>Even though there is a sufficient amount of references, some of them are quite outdated. You should make an effort to use all of them within the past five years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The level of English used in the article is adequate for scholarly communications; however, there are a few sentences that might be confusing or hard to understand.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Supparang Ruangvanich
Department, University & Country	Chandrasakem Rajabhat University, Thailand