

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_108538</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AFFECT COMPANY PERFORMANCE, GCG AND CONTROL OF OPERATION COST?</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it explores the intricate relationships between state equity involvement, corporate strategy, good corporate governance, operational cost control, and company performance. The findings contribute valuable insights to the field of corporate governance and strategic management.</li> <li>2. The title of the article is appropriate, as it accurately reflects the key themes explored in the manuscript.</li> <li>3. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the research, summarizing the objectives, methodology, and key findings effectively.</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are well-organized, facilitating clarity in presenting the research objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.</li> <li>5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, with a sound research design and methodology. However, a more detailed explanation of the research design, particularly regarding the choice of variables and their measurements, could enhance the manuscript's scientific rigor.</li> <li>6. The references are sufficient and seem relevant to the study. However, it would be beneficial to include some more recent references to ensure the incorporation of the latest research in the field.</li> </ol> <p>Additional Suggestions/Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consider providing more details on the limitations of the study to enhance transparency and guide future research directions.</li> <li>- Clarify the rationale behind choosing specific companies or industries in Indonesia, as this may impact the generalizability of the findings.</li> <li>- Ensure consistency in terminology and definitions throughout the manuscript for better understanding.</li> <li>- Encourage the inclusion of practical implications of the study's findings for policymakers, practitioners, or academics in the conclusion section.</li> </ul> <p>Overall, the manuscript has the potential to make a valuable contribution to the scientific community, and addressing the above points will further enhance its quality and impact.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, I would recommend a few minor revisions to enhance clarity and coherence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Check for Consistency: Ensure consistency in the use of terminology and expressions throughout the manuscript. This will contribute to a smoother reading experience.</li> <li>- Sentence Structure: Review sentence structures to avoid overly complex or convoluted constructions. Aim for clarity and simplicity in conveying ideas.</li> <li>- Proofread for Typos and Grammar: Conduct a thorough proofreading to catch any typographical errors, grammatical mistakes, or awkward phrasings that might distract readers.</li> <li>- Academic Tone: Confirm that the tone remains consistently formal and academic. Avoid colloquial expressions or language that might be considered too informal for scholarly communication.</li> </ul> <p>Overall, the language quality is good, and these minor revisions should help refine the manuscript for optimal scholarly communication.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chen Anqi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Business and Economics, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia</b>