

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_108548</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Conservation Agriculture as a Climate Change Mitigation Strategy: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, but the article and content are not correlated especially on the side of defining what is the Conservation Agriculture</b></p> <p><b>The title sounds good</b></p> <p><b>Not very comprehensive because the Author tends to write a lot on soil components and the article is not written in a language that everyone understands. It seems like it is a soil science article while Conservation Agriculture is practical.</b></p> <p><b>I don't think so. Once it is a review, I recommend the author to conduct a comparative study maybe in 5 Countries</b></p> <p>Somehow yes but it needs a lot of improvements</p> <p>There is a need of adding more after adjustments of the article. Read the Conservation Agriculture Practices in Rwanda or reach out the Rwanda Institute of Conservation Agriculture <a href="https://www.rica.rw/">https://www.rica.rw/</a></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There is a need to do a logical model and correlate the words and paragraphs. It is always good to define the key words so that the readers understand the article in a language that everyone can understand. It seems like there is a lot of soil chemistry in this article while there was no laboratory testing.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Revise the article, make it practical and write it in a language that everyone can understand. Do a comparative study and share the findings from different countries because this is a review article.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ange Imanishimwe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rwanda</b>