

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SARJNP_109435</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PHYTOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION, ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES OF THE LEAVES OF COMBRETUM BAUCHIENSE HUTCH. &amp; DALZIEL (COMBRETACEAE)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The discussion section of the article can be significantly improved by establishing stronger links with the results obtained. By providing a more comprehensive analysis and interpretation of the data, the conclusions can be made more relevant and insightful. It is important to delve deeper into the implications of the results, addressing not only what was found but also why it matters. Additionally, ensuring that the conclusions align with the objectives and research questions is essential for a more cohesive and meaningful report. On the positive side, other aspects of the report are good, including the methodology, data collection, and presentation. To enhance the overall quality, a more rigorous and coherent discussion leading to conclusions that directly connect to the generated results is recommended.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>V. N. Meena Devi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India</b>