

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_108484
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Impact of Intestinal Parasites Infections among Presumptive Pulmonary Tuberculosis Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for scientific community</p> <p>It is somewhat confusing. This means what about the vise verse. (Prevalence and Impact of Presumptive Pulmonary Tuberculosis Infections among Intestinal Parasitic Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study)</p> <p>No (background of the study was not explained)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It is not clear, how can you identify the impact of one from the other (the impact of intestinal parasitic infection on pulmonary tuberculosis infected patients or impact of pulmonary tuberculosis infection on intestinal parasitic infected patients)</p> <p>The reference is not sufficient and most of them are too old, even the author includes in the reference paper which is published in 1991</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Major revision</p> <p>Needs edition</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kuma Diriba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dilla University, Ethiopia</b>