

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_108099
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Cytomorphology of the Cerebral Cortex of Wistar Rats Exposed to Elioazu Dumpsite Leachate
Type of the Article	Investigation article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The article is very interesting, and its findings are of interest to the population of Port Harcourt, Nigeria, as well as the scientific community regarding the effects of wastewater on the cerebral cortex</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The experimental design is well planned and the development of the experiment is adequate, the results are also clear, however, I consider that the authors could improve their study if they discussed the differences found with respect to the leachate proportions used, since when reading how the five groups of rats, one would expect that the results would be focused and discussed not only in relation to whether there were changes due to the effect of the leachate, but also with respect to its concentration.</p> <p>The references used seem appropriate and current to me.</p> <p>The conclusions seem appropriate to me; however, I think they should refer to the potential damage according to the percentage of leachate.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alejandro Palacios Espinosa
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur, México