

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_108732
Title of the Manuscript:	Application Progress of Upconversion Luminescent Materials in Fluorescent Anti-counterfeiting Technology
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. In this manuscript, the authors performed a systematic review on the luminescent principles, preparation conditions, substrate effects, applications in fluorescent anti-counterfeiting, and existing issues of upconversion luminescent materials. Furthermore, potential research and development directions for the future application of upconversion luminescent materials were discussed. Overall, this manuscript is interesting and well prepared and has relevance for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes. Overall the references are sufficient, however a few recent works could be added.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript is well prepared. Several topics were covered and the discussions were interesting. It could be accepted for publication.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eduardo Burgarelli Lages</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil</b>