

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_109200
Title of the Manuscript:	Autonomous and controlled motivational behaviour and student academic outcomes in upper primary school in a remote setting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is essential for the scientific community, which discusses autonomous and controlled motivational behaviour and student academic outcomes in upper primary schools.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes, but it needs some improvement.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes. Lack of references in the last five years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but it needs some improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Lack of discussion, the author still needs to answer the question well.</p> <p>1. How does the self-determination motivation relate to students' academic achievement measured through examination marks? 2. What are the correlation coefficients of the different levels of academic regulation?</p> <p>A weak conclusion that does not reflect the manuscript's purpose.</p> <p>Lack of references.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Mahadee Ismail
Department, University & Country	University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia