

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_108045
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementing Distributed Ledger Technology for Advanced Supply Chain Optimization in the Renewable Energy Sector: A Comprehensive Examination
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It provides an overview of the study titled "Implementing Distributed Ledger Technology for Advanced Supply Chain Optimization in the Renewable Energy Sector"</p> <p>A Comprehensive Examination need more details to justify title</p> <p>the abstract mentions that the study examines the degree of trust and maturity associated with the use of Block chain technology, it would be beneficial to specify the exact objectives and research questions that the study aims to address. What are the specific aspects of supply chain optimization in the renewable energy sector that the research is targeting?</p> <p>Overall, it conveys the primary focus and objectives of the research. However, there are some critical aspects and details that could be further enhanced in the abstract:</p> <p>While the abstract mentions that the study examines the degree of trust and maturity associated with the use of Block chain technology, it would be beneficial to specify the exact objectives and research questions that the study aims to address. What are the specific aspects of supply chain optimization in the renewable energy sector that the research is targeting?</p> <p>The paper briefly mentions the "Block chain Maturity Questionnaire" and the use of responses from experts in high management positions within the renewable energy sector. Expanding on the methodology would provide readers with a better understanding of how the research was conducted, the sample size, and the data collection process.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some grammatical mistakes, rewrite incorporating above points 1 to 6</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Providing a hint of potential future research directions or areas for further exploration could be valuable. This would show that the study is part of a broader academic discourse and can inspire further research in the field.. The above points keep in mind and elaborate with practical examples.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arvind Kumar Drave
Department, University & Country	India