

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_109274
Title of the Manuscript:	Agro-Morphological characterization of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) genotypes
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, DUS characterization of any number of cultivars is always important to know and group genotypes in distinct groups based on their quantitative and quality traits. This article emphasized more on easily observable and recognized important morphological traits.</p> <p>Yes, as per authors perspective.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tables can be started from next page, better to not break table and figures for reader's interest. 2. Figure titles on bottom of figures and plates. 3. Plates are not denoted while explaining results, it can be narrated where it is suitable in the results text. <p>Yes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Author can elaborate discussion about importance of results found via supporting references in discussion. 5. There are a few quantitative traits (Flag leaf length, Flag leaf width, Time of ear emergence, etc.) mention unit of measurement and range of data found in results. <p>Yes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Scope for addition of some more references. 7. Kindly look for reference format as per journal guidelines. (i.e. et al., after six authors names). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>If possible, add source and pedigree of genotypes and checks used. Article can be accepted as a short research article or short communication as it doesn't represent all the DUS characters of the crop. Article show a few recognizable observable agro morphological traits of wheat.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chetariya Chana P
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India