

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_109141</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Investigating the use of spectral response to track the depth variations in field water storage</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This experimental study is worthwhile for the scientific community which can provide the base line information for further studies and for the guidance of decision-makers. Besides, it can be a valuable academic addition as well.</p> <p>2. The title of the article seems to be reasonable, however it can be refined and made further meaningful with the consultation of research supervisor etc.</p> <p>3. To make the abstract of the study more comprehensive, it should focus on the below given points each consisting of not more than two or three meaningful stances;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Introduction, scope, usefulness and objective/s of the study</li> <li>➤ Data sources and methodology</li> <li>➤ Major findings, main conclusion, and policy implications of the study</li> </ul> <p>4. Though structure of the manuscript is acceptable, its subsections need further elaboration and clarification</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically reasonable.</p> <p>6. Addition of some more and recent references will enhance the worth of this piece of research work.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Although language is acceptable, in some sections it needs minor revision. Grammatical mistakes are frequent. Some editorial mistakes and weak arguments are also found at some places in the article.</p> <p>2. Key words should be arranged alphabetic order.</p> <p>3. While introducing study area, inclusion of study area map can be beneficial for the reader.</p> <p>4. Discussion needs to be made more rich and sound.</p> <p>5. Conclusion needs to be made more comprehensive in the light of objectives and results of the study.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>All in all, with the incorporation of suggested minor revisions, the overall work is good enough to be accepted for publication in the International Journal of Plant and Soil Science</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>To the best of my knowledge, this piece research work has no ethical issues</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Asad Ali Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan</b>