

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109230
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of organic manures and natural farming on quality and economics of carrot
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript has importance for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is not suitable for the article as the researcher not highlighted anything about the natural farming so I suggest the alternative title like, "Economics and Quality Assessment of carrot through application of various organic sources"</p> <p>3. The abstract structure is not up to the mark. Needs to be reformed.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Not up to the mark.</p> <p>6. References number is okay but they are not recent. I would suggest to go for another review and add the recent papers.</p> <p>Additional comments:</p> <p>7. The result is not defining. The researchers has showed that the poultry manure has highest N content but they are showing higher yield in vermi-compost. If like that then all the references supporting the paper is wrong. It needs to be correct.</p> <p>8. The researcher has not informed anything about the natural farming in the paper.</p> <p>9. There is no nutrient evaluation of ghanajeevamrit and jeevamrit and no results of them.</p> <p>10. Under the material and methods, there is no information about the previous crop in the field.</p> <p>11. The nutrient contents of FYM is very high. If it is, then the researcher must mention the source of cow dung and cow urine.</p> <p>12. The keywords are need to revised.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. There are many grammatical errors. I have highlighted with yellow and red colors. Please find them and try to rectify. The language can be improved a little more.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The research thought is good. I admire it.</p> <p>2. The representation can be better little more with the above mentioned points.</p> <p>3. As, the research was conducted for one season only, so it is suitable for short-communication.</p> <p>4. I wish you all the best.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alok Kumar Behera
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India