

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109196
Title of the Manuscript:	"Identification and Characterization of the Pathogen Causing Canker Disease in Acid Lime (Citrus aurantiifolia) Cv. Petlur Acid Lime"
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The research appropriate to canker disease which has to check in citrus farming areas</p> <p>Title is appropriate to the content.</p> <p>Abstract can be rewritten adding with importance of disease.</p> <p>Yes, It is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, However, the discussion part is not up to the mark. Author must to add little more discussions with previous studies.</p> <p>NHB, 2019 (Citation is missing), There are no recent references have mentioned. Author can revise the paper with newer references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There is a scope of improvement in language. However, the written language can be acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><u>Results and Discussion</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pathogen symptoms picture is missing 2. It clearly shows that author have missed discussion part. Adding weightage to the discussion part while correction would be appreciable. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Krishna Kumar
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Science, India