Review Article

Exploring Sustainable Practices in Modern Agronomy and Their Environmental Impact- A Review

Abstract

The field of agronomy is undergoing a seismic shift towards sustainability, driven by an increasing understanding of the long-term benefits and a global urgency to act against climate change. This review article aims to explore the multifaceted realm of sustainable agronomy, scrutinizing its practices, benefits, challenges, and future prospects. We delve into the types of sustainable practices currently in use, such as crop rotation, organic farming, and conservation tillage, highlighting their positive impact on soil health, water quality, and biodiversity. We also offer a comparative analysis, contrasting these sustainable practices with conventional methods in terms of yield, economic benefits, and environmental impact. A section is dedicated to policy implications, discussing existing government policies that support sustainable practices and offering recommendations for further policy interventions. Case studies from India and abroad serve to demonstrate the practical application and success of these methods. The transition to sustainable agronomy is fraught with challenges. Technological needs, such as the requirement for advanced machinery and analytics tools, can be a hurdle, especially for small-scale farmers. Educational gaps also present a significant challenge, as current agronomy curricula in many parts of the world are not geared towards sustainable practices. Financial constraints, often exacerbated by inadequate support systems, add another layer of complexity to the transition. Despite these challenges, the review identifies multiple avenues for future research, including the development of cost-effective technologies, educational reforms, and alternative financing models to support farmers.

Keywords:*Sustainability, Technology, Environmental, Economic, Practices*

Introduction

Agronomy, a branch of agricultural science, focuses on the study and management of field crops and soil fertility. It is an age-old practice that has witnessed tremendous transformation over the millennia, from rudimentary crop cultivation to advanced, technological practices. Agronomy encompasses a wide array of elements, including crop genetics, soil management, and sustainable agriculture [1]. The field has long been the backbone of civilization, providing food, raw materials, and even medicines for human consumption and other purposes [2].In recent years, agronomy has become increasingly complex due to technological advancements and the need for higher yields to sustain a growing global population. Modern practices often employ synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and genetically modified organisms (GMOs) to improve crop productivity [3]. While these methods may yield immediate benefits, they often overlook the long-term consequences, including soil degradation, water pollution, and declining biodiversity [4].

Sustainable Practices in Agronomy

In the wake of the aforementioned environmental challenges and an ever-growing global population, there is a pressing need for more sustainable practices in agronomy. The concept of sustainability encompasses methods that meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs [5]. Therefore, sustainable agronomic practices aim to balance the objectives of increased crop yield, economic profitability, and environmental stewardship [6].Sustainable practices in agronomy are gaining widespread attention, not just for their environmental benefits, but also for their socio-economic impacts. Organic farming, agroforestry, and integrated pest management are some of the methods gaining traction [7]. These practices offer a plethora of benefits such as improved soil health, reduced use of synthetic chemicals, increased biodiversity, and resilience against climate change [8]. Sustainable agronomy often incorporates traditional agricultural knowledge, which can be valuable in crafting tailored solutions for local issues [9].

Table: 1 Yield assessment of various staple food crops in traditional versus modern agricultural practices

Traditional Agriculture Practices	**Country/Region**	**Cultivated Crop**	**Description**	**References**
Zero Tillage	Indo-Gangetic plains of South Asia	Rice–wheat cropping	Up to 200–500 kg ha^{-1} increase in wheat yield with no-tillage	[10]
CAPS (Conservation Agriculture Systems)	Odisha, India	Maize and cowpea	No significant increase in maize but considerable increase in cowpea	[11]
Conservation Agriculture (CA)	Keonjhar district of Odisha, India	Maize, cowpea, mustard	\$754 ha^{-1} profit in reduced tillage and intercropping	[12]
Mixed Cropping	China	Rice	89% increase in yield and 44% less blast attack without pesticide	[13]
Small Ruminant-Integrated Coconut	Santa Cruz, Laguna, Philippines	Coconut	Profit increased from \$60 to \$356	[14]
Food Crop and Rubber with Livestock	Butamarta, South Sumatra, Indonesia	Food crops, rubber	Profit enhanced from \$68 to \$161	[15]
Agroforestry	Haryana, India	Hordeum vulgare (barley)	Various tree species improved barley yield up to 86%	[16]
Agroforestry-Based Cultivation	Sahel, Sahara desert, Africa	Maize, applering acacia	Production of maize increased from 1 to 3 ton ha-1	[17]
Agroforestry-Based Agriculture	Rajasthan, India	Wheat, barley, gram	Improved soil microbial density and nutrient content	[18]

Optimized Farming Practices	Southern Italy	Durum wheat	Crop rotation minimized nitrogen fertilizer use and cut down GHG emission	[19]

Rationale for the Review

Given the increasing concern about environmental degradation and food security, there is a compelling need for a comprehensive review of sustainable practices in modern agronomy. Previous studies and reviews have often focused on isolated practices or have given a general overview without diving deep into the environmental impacts [20]. Policy-makers, researchers, and practitioners in the field could benefit from a systematic compilation and analysis of existing sustainable practices, their benefits, and their challenges. There is a gap in the literature concerning the intersectionality of sustainable agronomy with socio-economic factors and public policies. Many questions remain unanswered, such as: How effective are sustainable agronomy practices compared to conventional methods in terms of yield, cost, and environmental impact? What policies could governments enact to facilitate the adoption of sustainable practices? This review aims to address these questions and provide a comprehensive analysis of sustainable practices in modern agronomy.

Methodology

Criteria for Selection of Studies

The primary focus of this review is to compile and assess existing literature on sustainable practices in modern agronomy and their environmental impacts. For this purpose, a strict criterion was followed to ensure the credibility, relevance, and comprehensiveness of the selected studies.Inclusion Criteria:Timeframe: Studies published between January 2000 and December 2022 were considered to provide an updated review., Peer-Reviewed: Only peer-reviewed articles, journals, and conference papers were included to ensure the scientific validity of the review., Subject Matter: Studies that focused on sustainable agronomic practices, their environmental impact, and comparison with conventional methods were included., Geographical Coverage: Global studies, as well as localized studies from varied geographies, were included for a comprehensive perspective., Language: Studies published in English were chosen due to language constraints.

Exclusion Criteria:

Grey Literature: Reports, opinion pieces, and non-peer-reviewed articles were excluded.Outdated Practices: Studies focusing on agronomic practices that are now obsolete were omitted.Narrow Focus: Studies that only dealt with economic aspects without any consideration of environmental impact were excluded.

Data Sources

To perform an exhaustive and comprehensive review, multiple data sources were tapped into, Academic Databases: Platforms like PubMed, Google Scholar, and JSTOR were primarily used for research articles., Agronomy Journals: Specialized journals like "Agronomy for Sustainable Development," "Field Crops Research," and "Soil & Tillage Research" were searched for relevant papers., Government and Institutional Reports: Reports by FAO, UNEP, and USDA were consulted for statistical data and policy matters., References in Existing Reviews: Bibliographies of existing review articles were also scrutinized to find additional sources (Jones et al., 2019).

Methods of Analysis

Given the multifaceted nature of sustainable practices in modern agronomy, a multi-dimensional method of analysis was employed.Content Analysis: Qualitative analysis was used to categorize different sustainable practices and their corresponding environmental impacts.Meta-Analysis: Quantitative data from various studies were combined to assess the environmental benefits of sustainable versus conventional agronomy. Comparative Analysis: Data were compared and contrasted to evaluate the efficacy of different sustainable practices, looking at factors like soil health, water quality, and economic viability.Policy Analysis: Relevant laws and policies were analyzed to assess the current landscape of sustainable agronomy and to suggest policy recommendations. Case Study Synthesis: Real-world implementations were synthesized into case studies to provide empirical evidence of the benefits and challenges of adopting sustainable agronomy practices.

Historical Perspective

Agronomy has its roots in the dawn of civilization, evolving alongside human societies. Early agronomic practices were primitive, relying on simple tools, manual labor, and basic techniques like slash-and-burn and simple irrigation. Over time, innovations such as the plow and animal labor contributed to more effective farming [21].The Industrial Revolution marked a significant milestone, introducing mechanized farming equipment and chemical interventions. While these advancements exponentially increased yields, they were not without environmental consequences. The widespread use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides led to soil degradation and water pollution [22].In the modern era, technology further transformed agronomy with the advent of genetically modified organisms (GMOs), advanced irrigation systems, and large-scale monocultures. While these methods dramatically increased agricultural productivity, they further escalated environmental issues such as soil erosion and biodiversity loss [23].Amid growing environmental concerns in the late 20th century, there was a shift in focus toward sustainable practices. Influential works like Rachel Carson's "Silent Spring" catalyzed a broader environmental movement and raised awareness about the ecological impact of agriculture [24].Organic farming emerged as one of the earliest sustainable practices, focusing on natural fertilizers and pest control methods [25]. Around the same time, agroecology and agroforestry began to gain prominence. These practices apply ecological principles to agriculture, emphasizing biodiversity, soil health, and sustainable water use [26].By the late 20th century, Integrated Pest Management (IPM) also gained traction, aiming to reduce the reliance on chemical pesticides by employing a variety of techniques such as biological control and habitat manipulation [27].Government policies and certification schemes, such as the USDA Organic Certification, have further incentivized the adoption of sustainable practices [28]. In recent years, technological advancements like precision agriculture have also been harnessed to enhance sustainability in agronomy [29].

Table 2: Traditional Agricultural Practices in Various Indian States

S. No	Traditional Agricultural Practices	Characteristic Features	Performing Community	State	References
1	Forest Gardening	Selection of superior species in home garden	Mostly forest tribal	Almost entire India	[30]
2	Rice Fish Culture	Aquaculture with rice farming in lower plots	Apatanis tribes	Arunachal Pradesh	[31]
3	Aquaforestry	Fish and prawn in saline water, coconut trees on bunds of ponds	Most of the coastal population	Coastal areas of Andhra Pradesh	[32]
4	Shifting Cultivation	Burning forest land for nutrient release	Nishis, Karbis, Kacharis	Northeast India	[33]
5	Kanabandi	Barriers of dead wood to check wind velocity	Most local farmers of arid region	Rajasthan	[34]
6	Terraces or Bun Cultivation	Slope and valley type cultivation for moisture retention	Khasis, Jaintias and Garos	Meghalaya	[35]
7	Badi Cropping System	Similar to home gardening for soil fertility	Baiga tribes	Madhya Pradesh	[36]
8	Live Bunding/ Vegetative	Planting bushes and grasses for soil	Most local	Uttar Pradesh	[37]

	Bunding	conservation	farmers		
9	Livestock Panning and Fallowing	Panning of livestock and fallowing for soil fertility	Aheer and Gadaria	Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh	[38]
10	Utera Cropping System	Sowing next crop before harvesting to use soil moisture	Baiga tribes	Madhya Pradesh	[39]
11	Alder-based Farming in Jhum	Using Alder tree for nitrogen fixing	Indigenous tribes like Angami, Chakhesang, etc.	Nagaland	[40]
12	Farming Below Sea Level	Using biobuds to regulate flooding and salinity	Kuttanad Farmer of coastal area	Kerala	[41]
13	Kaipad (rice–fish farming)	Rice from April to October, prawn/fish from November to April	Farmers of coastal area	Kerala	[42]
14	PannenduPantalu	12-crop system with millets, pulses, etc.	Most farmers	Andhra Pradesh	[43]
15	Homesteads (Kyaroo)	Multiple tree species for fuel, fodder, and timber	Most farmers	Himachal Pradesh and Jammu and Kashmir	[44]
16	Zabo System	Combination of forest, agriculture, animal husbandry and pisciculture	Chakhesang tribe	Nagaland	[45]
17	Sanda Practice	Double transplanting for water management	Local farmers	Uttar Pradesh	[46]

Importance of Sustainability in Modern Agronomy

Sustainability in modern agronomy isn't a choice; it's an imperative. As the global population continues to swell, projected to reach 9.7 billion by 2050, the demand for food will inevitably rise [47]. Traditional agronomic practices, while effective in achieving short-term yield goals, have shown to be unsustainable in the long run [48]. The importance of sustainability in modern agronomy can be understood by delving into its long-term benefits, economic implications, and social impacts.

Long-Term Benefits

One of the most significant benefits of sustainable agronomy is the preservation of soil health. Soil is not an inexhaustible resource; it needs to be nurtured and preserved. Conventional farming practices like monocropping and excessive use of chemical fertilizers have led to soil degradation and erosion, posing long-term risks to agricultural productivity [49]. In contrast, sustainable practices like crop rotation, cover cropping, and organic farming enhance soil fertility and water retention capacity [50].Water conservation is another critical long-term benefit. Traditional irrigation methods are often inefficient, leading to water wastage and depletion of aquifers [51]. Sustainable irrigation methods, such as drip irrigation and rainwater harvesting, can substantially reduce water usage, ensuring its availability for future generations [52]. Sustainable agronomy also contributes to combating climate change. Practices such as agroforestry and conservation tillage can act as carbon sinks, reducing the overall greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture [53].

Economic Implications

Sustainable agronomy is not just ecologically viable but economically sensible as well. Initial setup costs for sustainable practices might be higher, but the long-term returns are significantly more profitable [54]. For instance, organic farming might require a larger upfront investment but can yield premium prices in the market, making it economically competitive [55].Additionally, sustainable practices reduce dependency on synthetic inputs, cutting down recurring costs. Farmers who practice Integrated Pest Management (IPM) have reported a reduction in the use of chemical pesticides, translating to lower operational expenses [56].Lastly, sustainable agronomy can also open up new markets. With the growing consumer awareness of the ecological footprint of food production, demand for sustainably produced agricultural products is on the rise [57].

Social Impacts

The adoption of sustainable agronomy has far-reaching social implications. For one, it can significantly improve food security. By nurturing soil and water resources, sustainable agronomy ensures a more resilient food system capable of withstanding the challenges posed by climate change [58].Secondly, sustainable agronomy can contribute to rural development. Sustainable farming often involves community participation and engagement, offering new employment opportunities [59].Lastly, the shift towards sustainable practices also aligns with global social justice goals. For instance, many sustainable agronomic practices can be considered more ethical, as they often reject the use of harmful pesticides that can lead to severe health issues for farmworkers [60].

Types of Sustainable Practices in Modern Agronomy

Sustainable agronomy has become a focal point in modern agriculture, primarily driven by the imperatives of environmental conservation and long-term agricultural viability. Within this context, there are several key types of sustainable practices that have shown promise in both increasing yield and reducing environmental impact. These practices are Crop Rotation, Organic Farming, Agroforestry, Conservation Tillage, and Integrated Pest Management.Crop Rotation has long been an integral part of sustainable farming. The practice involves growing different types of crops in the same area across various seasons. This offers a multifaceted approach to soil health and pest management. Crop rotation aids in the natural replenishment of soil nutrients, thereby reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers. The alternating of crops also helps in disrupting the lifecycle of pests that may prefer particular crops, thereby acting as a natural form of pest management [61].Organic Farming, another sustainable agronomic practice, has gained substantial attention in recent years. This method excludes or strictly limits the use of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and GMOs. Soil management in organic farming primarily employs natural methods like composting and the application of manure. These practices improve soil fertility and structure over time. Although organic farming may involve higher upfront costs, the premium prices that organic products command in the market make it an economically viable option for many farmers [62].Moving to Agroforestry, the practice integrates trees into farming systems and has been noted for its potential in improving the sustainability of agricultural operations. The trees serve multiple functions; they act as windbreaks, help in soil conservation, and are effective in carbon sequestration. This multifunctionality makes agroforestry a potent tool in the quest for sustainable agronomy [63].Another practice that deserves mention is Conservation Tillage. This involves minimal soil disruption during the tilling process, thereby helping to reduce soil erosion. In conservation tillage, crop residues are left on the field, which provides a protective cover for the soil while adding organic matter. This results in enhanced soil fertility over time, which is a crucial element in sustainable farming [64].Integrated Pest Management (IPM) represents a holistic approach to controlling pests in agricultural settings. Rather than relying solely on chemical pesticides, IPM uses a combination of biological, physical, and chemical methods to manage pests. This approach not only minimizes the need for chemical interventions but also results in a more balanced and sustainable pest management strategy. The practice has been especially effective in reducing pesticide use and associated costs, making it both an environmentally and economically sustainable option [65].

Table 3: Sustainable Practices in Modern Agronomy in India

S.	Sustainable	Characteristic Features	Implementing States	References

No	Practice			
1	Zero-Tillage	Reduces soil erosion and improves water efficiency	Punjab, Haryana	[66]
2	Crop Rotation	Enhances soil fertility by alternating different crops	Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh	[67]
3	Organic Farming	Eliminates use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides	Sikkim, Kerala	[68]
4	Drip Irrigation	Efficient water usage, reduces water waste	Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra	[69]
5	Integrated Pest Management	Combines various pest control methods, reduces chemical usage	Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh	[70]
6	Agroforestry	Incorporates trees in farming systems for benefits like erosion control	Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand	[71]
7	Polyculture	Growing multiple crops together to optimize land use	Kerala, Assam	[72]
8	Cover Cropping	Growing crops to provide soil cover, reducing erosion	Punjab, Bihar	[73]
9	Vertical Farming	Utilizes vertical space for crop cultivation, less land use	Experimental stages in various cities	[74]
10	Soil Testing	Regular soil health check-ups for nutrient management	Almost all states	[75]

Environmental Impact of Sustainable Practices

The increasing emphasis on sustainable practices in agronomy emanates from the growing concerns over environmental degradation and resource depletion. As the world grapples with climate change and biodiversity loss, agriculture finds itself at the crossroads of being both a contributor to these problems and a potential solution. The environmental impact of sustainable practices in agronomy can be gauged through various lenses: soil health, water quality, biodiversity, carbon footprint, and resilience to climate change.Soil health is often considered the foundation of sustainable agronomy. Conventional agricultural practices, with their heavy reliance on chemical fertilizers and pesticides, have led to soil degradation, declining fertility, and erosion. Sustainable practices like crop rotation and organic farming improve soil structure, enhance microbial activity, and replenish essential nutrients [76]. The use of cover crops and conservation tillage can significantly reduce soil erosion rates, thereby preserving this critical resource for future generations [77].Water quality is another essential environmental aspect impacted by sustainable agronomy. Traditional agricultural methods are known for their excessive water use and contamination due to runoff from synthetic fertilizers and pesticides. In contrast, sustainable agronomy practices such as organic farming and agroforestry aim to minimize water use through efficient irrigation systems like drip irrigation, and rainwater harvesting. The reduced use of chemicals also means less contamination of water bodies, contributing to improved water quality [78].The promotion of biodiversity is a cornerstone of sustainable agronomic practices. Monocropping, a common practice in conventional agriculture, leads to a decrease in biodiversity, rendering ecosystems more susceptible to pests and diseases. Sustainable practices like crop rotation and Integrated Pest Management (IPM) contribute to enhanced biodiversity by providing various habitats and reducing the need for chemical interventions. This diversification is not just beneficial for the soil and local fauna but also provides resilience against crop diseases and pests [79].The carbon footprint of agriculture is a significant concern, given the urgent need to mitigate climate change. Sustainable practices such as agroforestry and conservation tillage can act as carbon sinks, absorbing more carbon dioxide than they emit. Sustainable agronomy's reduced reliance on synthetic fertilizers—whose production is energy-intensive—also contributes to a lower carbon footprint [80].Resilience to climate change is an environmental benefit that often gets overlooked. Traditional agronomic practices have made crops more susceptible to changes in weather patterns, mainly due to soil degradation and loss of biodiversity. Sustainable practices like crop rotation and organic farming, by enhancing soil health and biodiversity, make farming systems more resilient to climatic stress. These practices are increasingly recognized as strategies to adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change [81].

Comparative Analysis

In the evolving landscape of modern agronomy, the dichotomy between sustainable and conventional practices has sparked significant debates among scholars, policymakers, and practitioners. The ongoing discourse often culminates in a comparative analysis based on specific criteria: yield, economic benefits, and environmental impact.Yield has long been the cornerstone of agricultural success and is often cited as the first metric in comparing different farming approaches. Conventional agricultural practices, which generally rely on the extensive use of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and genetically modified organisms (GMOs), tend to produce higher short-term yields. This is mainly because these practices are designed for maximum output using the intensive application of inputs [82]. Numerous studies indicate that the yield advantage of conventional over sustainable agriculture diminishes over time. This decline is primarily due to soil degradation and increased susceptibility to pests and diseases [83]. On the other hand, sustainable practices like crop rotation and organic farming may produce lower initial yields but show remarkable consistency over time. These methods focus on soil health, which results in a more resilient and sustainable yield [84].The economic benefits of these two approaches often come under scrutiny in any comparative analysis. Conventional agriculture, with its high yield, usually promises greater short-term profits. The costs of inputs like synthetic fertilizers and pesticides are often high, not to mention the hidden costs of environmental degradation [85]. Sustainable practices, while often involving higher initial expenses for transitioning from conventional methods, tend to be more cost-effective in the long run. The lower input costs, coupled with premium prices for organic and sustainably produced crops, often balance out the reduced yields, making sustainable agriculture economically competitive [86].Environmental impact serves as the linchpin for advocating sustainable practices over conventional ones. Conventional agriculture has been linked to a range of environmental issues including soil erosion, water pollution, and loss of biodiversity [87]. It is also a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, thereby exacerbating climate change [88]. In stark contrast, sustainable practices aim to mitigate these impacts. Crop rotation and conservation tillage, for instance, improve soil health and reduce erosion. Organic farming minimizes water pollution by eliminating synthetic fertilizers and pesticides from the equation. Additionally, practices like agroforestry act as carbon sinks, absorbing more carbon dioxide than they emit [89].While it's tempting to view these two approaches through a binary lens, it's important to acknowledge that many farmers employ a hybrid of both sustainable and conventional practices. This blending often allows for the optimization of both yield and sustainability, thereby offering a pragmatic path forward in the complex reality of modern agronomy [90].

Policy Implications

The ascendancy of sustainable agronomy as an ecologically viable alternative to conventional agricultural practices has considerable ramifications for policymaking. Government policies that encourage or mandate sustainable agricultural practices can significantly influence how quickly these methods are adopted and how effective they are in achieving environmental and social goals. Governments worldwide have begun to recognize the importance of sustainable agronomy, initiating various policies to incentivize or mandate more environmentally friendly farming practices. In the United States, for instance, the Farm Bill includes provisions for financial incentives for farmers who adopt sustainable practices like conservation tillage or cover cropping [91]. European Union's Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) similarly promotes sustainable farming through various subsidy programs aimed at reducing chemical inputs and encouraging organic farming [92]. These policies often suffer from inconsistencies and lack of enforcement, leading to mixed results in terms of adoption rates and environmental impact [93].In many developing countries, government policies often lag behind in supporting sustainable agronomy. Financial limitations and the need for rapid agricultural development often prioritize short-term yields over long-term sustainability [94]. Therefore, a more nuanced policy framework is needed that takes into account the economic conditions and specific agricultural landscapes of these countries.Now, considering the recommendations for policy changes, it is evident that a multifaceted approach is required. Firstly, financial incentives alone may not be sufficient; they should be accompanied by robust education and training programs that help farmers understand the long-term benefits of sustainable practices [95]. Government bodies should collaborate with academic institutions and non-governmental organizations to develop curriculum and training modules that are both scientifically rigorous and practically applicable.Existing policies need stricter enforcement mechanisms. Financial incentives should be tied to clear and measurable outcomes, such as reductions in chemical inputs or improvements in soil health, to ensure that farmers are genuinely adopting sustainable practices [96]. Regular inspections and data collection should be integrated into the policy framework to track these outcomes effectively [97].There's a need for greater cooperation at the international level. Given that environmental issues do not respect national boundaries, a collaborative approach involving multiple countries can be more effective in promoting sustainable agronomy. For example, regional trade agreements could include clauses that incentivize or require sustainable agricultural practices [98].

Challenges and Future Prospects

Technological Needs

The push toward sustainable agronomy requires an array of technologies to support its diverse set of practices. For instance, precision agriculture demands sophisticated sensors, drones, and data analytics tools to optimize farm management [99]. These technologies can be expensive and complicated, requiring specialized training that may not be readily available to all farmers [100]. The rapid pace of technological advancement may render current systems obsolete, necessitating constant updates and investment [101].

Educational Gaps

For sustainable agronomy to become the norm rather than the exception, there must be a concerted effort to educate both current and future farmers. This education extends beyond simple how-tos; it encompasses a deep understanding of local ecosystems, crop genetics, and soil chemistry [102]. Unfortunately, the educational infrastructure required to impart such holistic knowledge is lacking in many parts of the world. Traditional agricultural education systems often focus on high-yield, chemically-intensive practices and need significant overhauling to adapt to sustainable imperatives [103].

Financial Constraints

Transitions are rarely seamless or cost-free. Shifting from conventional to sustainable agronomy usually involves an initial investment in new equipment, seeds, and training [104]. For small-scale farmers, especially those in developing countries, this initial cost can be prohibitive [105]. Even with government subsidies or NGO support, there's often a gap between the available financial support and the actual costs involved [106]. This financial constraint is one of the most significant barriers to the widespread adoption of sustainable practices.

Future Research Avenues

Given the existing challenges, there are multiple avenues for future research aimed at facilitating the transition to sustainable agronomy. One critical area is the development of low-cost, high-efficiency technologies tailored for small to medium-sized farms [107]. Research could also focus on alternative financing models that make it easier for farmers to make the initial investment in sustainable practices [108]. Another promising field is the social aspect of sustainable agronomy, studying ways to speed up the adoption rate among communities through targeted educational programs and community-led initiatives [109].The journey toward fully sustainable agronomy is clearly a challenging one, laden with technological, educational, and financial hurdles. These challenges are not insurmountable. With targeted research and concerted efforts from all stakeholders, it's entirely possible to overcome these obstacles. As the world grapples with the increasingly urgent need to act against environmental degradation and climate change, the importance of transitioning to sustainable agronomy becomes ever more critical. By addressing its current challenges head-on and exploring avenues for future research, sustainable agronomy can move from the periphery to the mainstream, becoming a cornerstone of global food security and environmental conservation.

Conclusion

The transition to sustainable agronomy is not without its challenges, spanning technological, educational, and financial domains. These challenges also present opportunities for targeted research and policy intervention. The imperative for such a shift is increasingly urgent in the face of global environmental crises. Tackling the issues of technology accessibility, education reform, and financial support can significantly ease this transition. By engaging with these challenges, we can pave the way for sustainable agronomy to become a mainstream practice, with ramifications not just for individual farmers but for global food security and environmental stability as well. Through collective efforts from all stakeholders, the adoption of sustainable agronomic practices can move from being an idealistic goal to a practical reality.

Reference

1. Wezel, A., Casagrande, M., Celette, F., Vian, J. F., Ferrer, A., &Peigné, J. (2014). Agroecological practices for sustainable agriculture. A review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, *34*(1), 1-20.

2. Smil, V. (2018). *Energy and civilization: a history*. MIT press.

3. Yali, W. (2022). Application of Genetically Modified Organism (GMO) crop technology and its implications in modern agriculture. *Int. J. Appl. Agric. Sci*, *8*, 14-20.

4. Lehman, R. M., Cambardella, C. A., Stott, D. E., Acosta-Martinez, V., Manter, D. K., Buyer, J. S., ... & Karlen, D. L. (2015). Understanding and enhancing soil biological health: the solution for reversing soil degradation. *Sustainability*, *7*(1), 988-1027.

5. Sen, A. (2016). The ends and means of sustainability. In *The capability approach and sustainability* (pp. 5-19). Routledge.

6. Lichtfouse, E., Navarrete, M., Debaeke, P., Souchère, V., Alberola, C., &Ménassieu, J. (2009). Agronomy for sustainable agriculture: a review. *Sustainable agriculture*, 1-7.

7. Merfield, C. N. (2023). Integrated weed management in organic farming. In *Advances in Resting-state Functional MRI* (pp. 31-109). Woodhead publishing.

8. Dubey, A., Malla, M. A., Khan, F., Chowdhary, K., Yadav, S., Kumar, A., ... & Khan, M. L. (2019). Soil microbiome: a key player for conservation of soil health under changing climate. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *28*, 2405-2429.

9. Pansera, M., & Sarkar, S. (2016). Crafting sustainable development solutions: Frugal innovations of grassroots entrepreneurs. *Sustainability*, *8*(1), 51.

10. Jat, R. K., Sapkota, T. B., Singh, R. G., Jat, M. L., Kumar, M., & Gupta, R. K. (2014). Seven years of conservation agriculture in a rice–wheat rotation of Eastern Gangetic Plains of South Asia: yield trends and economic profitability. *Field Crops Research*, *164*, 199-210.

11. Pradhan, A., Idol, T., & Roul, P. K. (2016). Conservation agriculture practices in rainfed uplands of India improve maize-based system productivity and profitability. *Frontiers in plant science*, *7*, 1008.

12. Pradhan, A., Chan, C., Roul, P. K., Halbrendt, J., & Sipes, B. (2018). Potential of conservation agriculture (CA) for climate change adaptation and food security under rainfed uplands of India: A transdisciplinary approach. *Agricultural Systems*, *163*, 27-35.

13. Joshi, E., Kumar, D., Lal, B., Nepalia, V., Gautam, P., & Vyas, A. K. (2013). Management of direct seeded rice for enhanced resource-use efficiency. *Plant Knowledge Journal*, *2*(3), 119-134.

14. Padua, M. A. K. A. (2015). *Small farmer access to premium prices for copra in the Philippines: A case study of the coconut oil chain in Camarines Sur province* (Doctoral dissertation, Lincoln University).

15. Mienmany, S. (2022). *Riding the Boom: Rural Households' Participation and Livelihood Outcomes Associated with Teak, Banana and Cassava Crops in Northern Laos* (Doctoral dissertation, The Australian National University (Australia)).

16. Kombra, S., Ahlawat, K., Sirohi, C., Dalal, V., Kumar, S., Poonia, P., ... & Yadav, S. (2023). Growth, yield and economic analysis of eucalypts-barley based agroforestry system in semi-arid region of India. *Environment Conservation Journal*, *24*(1), 12-19.

17. Chinke, N. M., Hamzat, S., Oyinlola, E. Y., Idris, S., & Yusif, S. A. (2022). Assessment of nitrogen mineralization of Faidherbia albida and its effect on maize (Zea mays L.) growth on an alfisol in Samaru, Northern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Environment*, *18*(1), 167-181.

18. Raj, A., Jhariya, M. K., Yadav, D. K., & Banerjee, A. (2020). Agroforestry systems in the hills and their ecosystem services. *Environmental and Sustainable Development Through Forestry and Other Resources*, 25-50.

19. Tedone, L., Ali, S. A., & De Mastro, G. (2017). Optimization of nitrogen in durum wheat in the Mediterranean climate: The agronomical aspect and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. *Nitrogen in agriculture-updates*, *8*, 131-162.

20. Papamitsiou, Z., & Economides, A. A. (2014). Learning analytics and educational data mining in practice: A systematic literature review of empirical evidence. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, *17*(4), 49-64.

21. Angelakıs, A. N., Zaccaria, D., Krasilnikoff, J., Salgot, M., Bazza, M., Roccaro, P., ... &Fereres, E. (2020). Irrigation of world agricultural lands: Evolution through the millennia. *Water*, *12*(5), 1285.

22. Tetteh, R. N. (2015). Chemical soil degradation as a result of contamination: A review. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*, *6*(11), 301-308.

23. Pimentel, D. (2006). Soil erosion: a food and environmental threat. *Environment, development and sustainability*, *8*, 119-137.

24. Wiener, G. (Ed.). (2011). *The Environment in Rachel Carson's Silent Spring*. Greenhaven Publishing LLC.

25. Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nature plants*, *2*(2), 1-8.

26. Lal, R. (2008). Soils and sustainable agriculture. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, *28*, 57-64.

27. Veres, A., Wyckhuys, K. A., Kiss, J., Tóth, F., Burgio, G., Pons, X., ... & Furlan, L. (2020). An update of the Worldwide Integrated Assessment (WIA) on systemic pesticides. Part 4: Alternatives in major cropping systems. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *27*, 29867-29899.

28. Piñeiro, V., Arias, J., Dürr, J., Elverdin, P., Ibáñez, A. M., Kinengyere, A., ... & Torero, M. (2020). A scoping review on incentives for adoption of sustainable agricultural practices and their outcomes. *Nature Sustainability*, *3*(10), 809-820.

29. Khan, N., Ray, R. L., Sargani, G. R., Ihtisham, M., Khayyam, M., & Ismail, S. (2021). Current progress and future prospects of agriculture technology: Gateway to sustainable agriculture. *Sustainability*, *13*(9), 4883.

30. George, M. V., & Christopher, G. (2020). Structure, diversity and utilization of plant species in tribal homegardens of Kerala, India. *Agroforestry Systems*, *94*(1), 297-307.

31. Saikia, S. K., & Das, D. N. (2008). Rice-fish culture and its potential in rural development: A lesson from Apatani farmers, Arunachal Pradesh, India. *Journal of Agriculture & Rural Development*, *6*(1), 125-131.

32. Kumar, P., Uthappa, A. R., Chavan, S. B., Chichaghare, A. R., Debta, H., Bhat, S., & Dagar, J. C. (2023). Achieving Biodiversity Conservation, Livelihood Security and Sustainable Development Goals Through Agroforestry in Coastal and Island Regions of India and Southeast Asia. In *Agroforestry for Sustainable Intensification of Agriculture in Asia and Africa* (pp. 429-486). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

33. Maithani, B. P. (2005). *Shifting cultivation in north-east India: Policy issues and options*. Mittal publications.

34. Dagar, J. C., & Tewari, J. C. (2016). Agroforestry research developments: anecdotal to modern science. *Agroforestry research developments. Nova Publishers, New York*, 1-45.

35. KHONGWIR, M. (2018). CHAPTER SIXTEEN THE CONTRIBUTION OF INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE OF THE KHASIS IN ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT JASMINE T. SAWIAN, LARIHUN JEENGAPH. *Climate Change and Developing Countries*, 210.

36. Sahu, B. (2020). *A study on traditional water Management among the tribes of Bastar region* (Doctoral dissertation, Indira Gandhi National Tribal University, Amarkantak, Madhya Pradesh-484886).

37. Mishra, P. K., & Tripathi, K. P. (2013). Soil and water conservation research for land management in India. *Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development*, *28*(1), 1-18.

38. Wankhede, D. M. R. (2009). *Geographical Thought of Dr. BR Ambedkar*. Gautam Book Center.

39. Singh, R. K., &Sureja, A. K. (2008). Indigenous knowledge and sustainable agricultural resources management under rainfed agro-ecosystem.

40. Kehie, M., Khamu, S., &Kehie, P. (2017). Indigenous alder based farming practices in Nagaland, India: a sustainable agricultural model. *J Tradit Folk Pract*, *5*(2), 82-152.

41. Nithin Raj, K. (2020). *Effect of salinity on paddy production in Alappuzha district of Kerala an economic analysis* (Doctoral dissertation, Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Agriculture, Vellayani).

42. Radhika, A. M., & Kuruvila, A. (2014). *Economic analysis of production and marketing of Kaipad Paddy in Kannur District* (Doctoral dissertation, Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara).

43. Saikanth, D. R. K., Gupta, K., Srivastava, P., Saryam, M., Rani, K. S., Jena, P., & Rout, S. (2023). Environmental Sustainability and Food Security of Traditional Agricultural Practices in India: A Review. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, *13*(8), 1847-1856.

44. Kashyap, S. D., Dagar, J. C., Pant, K. S., &Yewale, A. G. (2014). Soil conservation and ecosystem stability: natural resource management through agroforestry in Northwestern Himalayan region. *Agroforestry systems in India: livelihood security & ecosystem services*, 21-55.

45. Giri, K., Mishra, G., Rawat, M., Pandey, S., Bhattacharyya, R., Bora, N., & Rai, J. P. N. (2020). Traditional farming systems and agro-biodiversity in eastern Himalayan region of India. *Microbiological advancements for higher altitude agro-ecosystems & sustainability*, 71-89.

46. Dubey, P. K., Chaurasia, R., Pandey, K. K., Bundela, A. K., Singh, A., Singh, G. S., ... & Abhilash, P. C. (2023). Double transplantation as a climate resilient and sustainable resource management strategy for rice production in eastern Uttar Pradesh, north India. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *329*, 117082.

47. Wise, T. A. (2019). *Eating tomorrow: Agribusiness, family farmers, and the battle for the future of food*. The New Press.

48. Woodhouse, P. (2010). Beyond industrial agriculture? Some questions about farm size, productivity and sustainability. *Journal of agrarian change*, *10*(3), 437-453.

49. Dwivedi, A. K., & Dwivedi, B. S. (2015). Impact of long term fertilizer management for sustainable soil health and crop productivity: Issues and challenges. *Volume: 49 Research Journal*, *49*(3), 374.

50. Tully, K. L., & McAskill, C. (2020). Promoting soil health in organically managed systems: A review. *Organic Agriculture*, *10*(3), 339-358.

51. Schaible, G., &Aillery, M. (2012). Water conservation in irrigated agriculture: Trends and challenges in the face of emerging demands. *USDA-ERS Economic Information Bulletin*, (99).

52. Nikolaou, G., Neocleous, D., Christou, A., Kitta, E., &Katsoulas, N. (2020). Implementing sustainable irrigation in water-scarce regions under the impact of climate change. *Agronomy*, *10*(8), 1120.

53. Bangroo, S. A., Ali, T., Mahdi, S. S., Najar, G. R., & Sofi, J. A. (2013). Carbon and greenhouse gas mitigation through soil carbon sequestration potential of adaptive agriculture and agroforestry systems. *Range Management and Agroforestry*, *34*(1), 1-11.

54. Johns, T., Powell, B., Maundu, P., & Eyzaguirre, P. B. (2013). Agricultural biodiversity as a link between traditional food systems and contemporary development, social integrity and ecological health. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *93*(14), 3433-3442.

55. Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nature plants*, *2*(2), 1-8.

56. Deguine, J. P., Aubertot, J. N., Flor, R. J., Lescourret, F., Wyckhuys, K. A., &Ratnadass, A. (2021). Integrated pest management: good intentions, hard realities. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, *41*(3), 38.

57. Magrach, A., & Sanz, M. J. (2020). Environmental and social consequences of the increase in the demand for 'superfoods' world- wide. *People and Nature*, *2*(2), 267-278.

58. Meena, R. P., & Jha, A. (2018). Conservation agriculture for climate change resilience: A microbiological perspective. *Microbes for climate resilient agriculture*, 165-190.

59. Buytaert, W., Zulkafli, Z., Grainger, S., Acosta, L., Alemie, T. C., Bastiaensen, J., ... &Zhumanova, M. (2014). Citizen science in hydrology and water resources: opportunities for knowledge generation, ecosystem service management, and sustainable development. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, *2*, 26.

60. Thompson, P. B. (2017). *The spirit of the soil: Agriculture and environmental ethics*. Taylor & Francis.

61. Ratnadass, A., Fernandes, P., Avelino, J., & Habib, R. (2012). Plant species diversity for sustainable management of crop pests and diseases in agroecosystems: a review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, *32*, 273-303.

62. Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nature plants*, *2*(2), 1-8.

63. Wilson, M. H., & Lovell, S. T. (2016). Agroforestry—The next step in sustainable and resilient agriculture. *Sustainability*, *8*(6), 574.

64. Diacono, M., & Montemurro, F. (2011). Long-term effects of organic amendments on soil fertility. *Sustainable agriculture volume 2*, 761-786.

65. Popp, J., Pető, K., & Nagy, J. (2013). Pesticide productivity and food security. A review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, *33*, 243-255.

66. Phogat, M., Dahiya, R., Sangwan, P. S., & Goyal, V. (2020). Zero tillage and water productivity: A review. *Int. J. Chem. Stud*, *8*, 2529-2533.

67. Choudhary, A. K. (2019). Diversifying crop rotations with nitrogen fixing legumes. *Conservation Agriculture for Climate Resilient Farming & Doubling Farmers' Income, 246p. ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region, Patna Training Manual No*.

68. Thakur, N., Kaur, S., Kaur, T., Tomar, P., Devi, R., Thakur, S., ... & Yadav, A. N. (2022). Organic agriculture for agro-environmental sustainability. In *Trends of applied microbiology for sustainable economy* (pp. 699-735). Academic Press.

69. Surendran, U., Jayakumar, M., & Marimuthu, S. (2016). Low cost drip irrigation: Impact on sugarcane yield, water and energy saving in semiarid tropical agro ecosystem in India. *Science of the Total Environment*, *573*, 1430-1440.

70. Prakash, A., Bentur, J. S., Prasad, M. S., Tanwar, R. K., Sharma, O. P., Bhagat, S., ... & Jeyakumar, P. (2014). Integrated pest management for rice. *National Centre for Integrated Pest Management, New Delhi, India*, 43.

71. Raj, A., Jhariya, M. K., Yadav, D. K., & Banerjee, A. (2020). Agroforestry systems in the hills and their ecosystem services. *Environmental and Sustainable Development Through Forestry and Other Resources*, 25-50.

72. Kittur, B. H., Sudhakara, K., Mohan Kumar, B., Kunhamu, T. K., & Sureshkumar, P. (2016). Bamboo based agroforestry systems in Kerala, India: performance of turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) in the subcanopy of differentially spaced seven year-old bamboo stand. *Agroforestry Systems*, *90*, 237-250.

73. Mandal, D., Giri, N., & Srivastava, P. (2020). The magnitude of erosion- induced carbon (C) flux and C- sequestration potential of eroded lands in India. *European Journal of Soil Science*, *71*(2), 151-168.

74. Al-Kodmany, K. (2018). The vertical farm: A review of developments and implications for the vertical city. *Buildings*, *8*(2), 24.

75. Cockroft, B., Olsson, K. A., Lanyon, D., & Cass, A. (1996). Soil quality for orchards on duplex soils. *Soil Quality is in the Hands of the Land Manager*, 84.

76. Shah, K. K., Modi, B., Pandey, H. P., Subedi, A., Aryal, G., Pandey, M., & Shrestha, J. (2021). Diversified crop rotation: an approach for sustainable agriculture production. *Advances in Agriculture*, *2021*, 1-9.

77. Francaviglia, R., Almagro, M., & Vicente-Vicente, J. L. (2023). Conservation agriculture and soil organic carbon: principles, processes, practices and policy options. *Soil Systems*, *7*(1), 17.

78. Boyd, C. E., & Boyd, C. E. (2020). Water quality protection. *Water Quality: An Introduction*, 379-409.

79. Ratnadass, A., Fernandes, P., Avelino, J., & Habib, R. (2012). Plant species diversity for sustainable management of crop pests and diseases in agroecosystems: a review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, *32*, 273-303.

80. Chel, A., & Kaushik, G. (2011). Renewable energy for sustainable agriculture. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, *31*, 91-118.

81. Delgado, J. A., Groffman, P. M., Nearing, M. A., Goddard, T., Reicosky, D., Lal, R., ... & Salon, P. (2011). Conservation practices to mitigate and adapt to climate change. *Journal of soil and water conservation*, *66*(4), 118A-129A.

82. Mózner, Z., Tabi, A., &Csutora, M. (2012). Modifying the yield factor based on more efficient use of fertilizer—The environmental impacts of intensive and extensive agricultural practices. *Ecological Indicators*, *16*, 58-66.

83. Roberts, D. P., & Mattoo, A. K. (2018). Sustainable agriculture—Enhancing environmental benefits, food nutritional quality and building crop resilience to abiotic and biotic stresses. *Agriculture*, *8*(1), 8.

84. Bagnall, D. K., Shanahan, J. F., Flanders, A., Morgan, C. L., & Honeycutt, C. W. (2021). Soil health considerations for global food security. *Agronomy Journal*, *113*(6), 4581-4589.

85. Khan, S., &Hanjra, M. A. (2009). Footprints of water and energy inputs in food production–Global perspectives. *Food policy*, *34*(2), 130-140.

86. Muller, A., Schader, C., El-Hage Scialabba, N., Brüggemann, J., Isensee, A., Erb, K. H., ... & Niggli, U. (2017). Strategies for feeding the world more sustainably with organic agriculture. *Nature communications*, *8*(1), 1-13.

87. Gomiero, T., Paoletti, M. G., & Pimentel, D. (2008). Energy and environmental issues in organic and conventional agriculture. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, *27*(4), 239-254.

88. Shen, M., Huang, W., Chen, M., Song, B., Zeng, G., & Zhang, Y. (2020). (Micro) plastic crisis: un-ignorable contribution to global greenhouse gas emissions and climate change. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *254*, 120138.

89. Abbas, F., Hammad, H. M., Fahad, S., Cerdà, A., Rizwan, M., Farhad, W., ... & Bakhat, H. F. (2017). Agroforestry: a sustainable environmental practice for carbon sequestration under the climate change scenarios—a review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *24*, 11177-11191.

90. Garnett, T., & Godfray, C. (2012). Sustainable intensification in agriculture. Navigating a course through competing food system priorities. *Food climate research network and the Oxford Martin programme on the future of food, University of Oxford, UK*, *51*.

91. Bergtold, J. S., Ramsey, S., Maddy, L., & Williams, J. R. (2019). A review of economic considerations for cover crops as a conservation practice. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, *34*(1), 62-76.

92. Alexoaei, A. P., Robu, R. G., Cojanu, V., Miron, D., &Holobiuc, A. M. (2022). Good practices in reforming the common agricultural policy to support the european green Deal–a perspective on the consumption of pesticides and fertilizers. *Amfiteatru Economic*, *24*(60), 525-545.

93. Albrizio, S., Kozluk, T., & Zipperer, V. (2017). Environmental policies and productivity growth: Evidence across industries and firms. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, *81*, 209-226.

94. Moallemi, E. A., Eker, S., Gao, L., Hadjikakou, M., Liu, Q., Kwakkel, J., ... & Bryan, B. A. (2022). Early systems change necessary for catalyzing long-term sustainability in a post-2030 agenda. *One Earth*, *5*(7), 792-811.

95. Wong, S. (2016). Can climate finance contribute to gender equity in developing countries?. *Journal of International Development*, *28*(3), 428-444.

96. Kelemen, S. (2022). *Improving Use of Soil Health Practices in Kansas: A Study of Barriers to Adoption and Novel Incentive Programs*. The University of Maine.

97. Eddy-Spicer, D., Ehren, M. C. M. M., Bangpan, M., Khatwa, M., & Perrone, F. (2016). Under what conditions do inspection, monitoring and assessment improve system efficiency, service delivery and learning outcomes for the poorest and most marginalised? A realist synthesis of school accountability in low-and middle-income countries. *Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education, University College London*.

98. Gehring, M. W., Segger, M. C. C., de Andrade Correa, F., Reynaud, P., Harrington, A., &Mella, R. (2013). Climate Change and Sustainable Energy Measures in Regional Trade Agreements (RTAs). *International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development, Geneva*.

99. Shaikh, T. A., Rasool, T., & Lone, F. R. (2022). Towards leveraging the role of machine learning and artificial intelligence in precision agriculture and smart farming. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *198*, 107119.

100. Aker, J. C. (2011). Dial “A” for agriculture: a review of information and communication technologies for agricultural extension in developing countries. *Agricultural economics*, *42*(6), 631-647.

101. McGuinness, S., Pouliakas, K., & Redmond, P. (2023). Skills-displacing technological change and its impact on jobs: challenging technological alarmism?. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, *32*(3), 370-392.

102. Agyeman, J. (2013). *Introducing just sustainabilities: Policy, planning, and practice*. Zed Books Ltd..

103. Brief, T. P. (2015). Organic Agriculture: An option for fostering sustainable and inclusive agriculture development in India.

104. Mathie, R. G., & Wals, A. E. J. (2022). *Whole school approaches to sustainability: Exemplary practices from around the world*. Wageningen University, Education & Learning Sciences.

105. Jouzi, Z., Azadi, H., Taheri, F., Zarafshani, K., Gebrehiwot, K., Van Passel, S., &Lebailly, P. (2017). Organic farming and small-scale farmers: Main opportunities and challenges. *Ecological economics, 132*, 144-154.

106. Hulme, D. (2013). *Making a Difference: NGO's and Development in a Changing World*. Routledge.

107. Barbera, F., Walter, F., Luigi, B., & Federica, F. (2020). High land agriculture and intermediate technologies. *DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING*, 1-14.

108. Ari, I., &Koc, M. (2019). Sustainable financing for sustainable development: Agent-based modeling of alternative financing models for clean energy investments. *Sustainability*, *11*(7), 1967.

109. Esteves, A. M., Genus, A., Henfrey, T., Penha- Lopes, G., & East, M. (2021). Sustainable entrepreneurship and the Sustainable Development Goals: Community- led initiatives, the social solidarity economy and commons ecologies. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *30*(3), 1423-1435.