

# GROWTH, YIELD AND ECONOMICS OF WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum* L.) AS INFLUENCED BY DIFFERENT SEED RATES AND WEED CONTROL METHODS

## ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at Shradhay Bhagwati Singh Agriculture Research Farm (Hajipur), Chandra Bhanu Gupta krishi Snatakottar Mahavidyalaya, B.K.T., Lucknow (Uttar Pradesh) during the *rabi* season of 2021-22. The experiment consisted of 12 treatment combinations comprised of 3 seed rates and 4 weed management practices, tested in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The results indicated that different seed rates and weed management practices significantly influenced the growth, yield and nutrient uptake of wheat. The soil of experimental site was silty loam soils having medium organic carbon (0.70%) and nitrogen ( $270 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), phosphorus ( $27 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and potassium ( $262 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). Crop sown with seed rate,  $S_3$  ( $120 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) recorded highest plant height, number of tillers  $\text{m}^{-2}$ , leaf area index, dry matter accumulation, number of effective tillers, grain yield, straw yield, biological yield and nutrient uptake. However, higher number of grains spike $^{-1}$ , grain weight spike $^{-1}$ , length of spike and test weight was recorded with crop sown with  $100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  seed rate. Weed free upto 60 DAS ( $W_1$ ) resulted in significantly higher growth and yield attributes and yields over rest of the weed control treatment. Post emergence spray of Clodinafop + Metsulfuron ( $60 + 4$ )  $\text{g ha}^{-1}$  recorded significantly higher values of all growth, yield attributes and yield over sulfosulfuron @  $25 \text{ g a.i ha}^{-1}$ . Weed free upto 60 DAS had highest weed control efficiency followed by Clodinafop + Metsulfuron  $60 + 4 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  (49.78%). However, the highest weed index was noticed in weedy check and lowest with herbicide mixture. The highest net returns (Rs. 69036) and B:C (1.73) were obtained with combination of  $120 \text{ kg seed rate ha}^{-1}$  + Clodinafop+ Metsulfuron @  $60 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  +  $4 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  (PoE) at 30-35 DAS.

**Keywords:** Seed rate, weed control, growth, yield, economics and wheat.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) the world's largest cereal crop belongs to Poaceae (Gramineae) family of the genus *Triticum*”. [21] Wheat is the most important second staple food crop after rice and widely cultivated in world. It is cultivated in almost all countries; the major wheat producing countries are China, India, USA, Russia, France, Canada, Germany and Australia. India stands second position next to China in the world with regard to area and production of wheat crop” [1].

“India accounts for 13.43 per cent of global wheat area (29.55 million ha), 12.96 per cent of global wheat production (101.29 million tonnes) and is the second largest producer of wheat after China. The major wheat producing states in India are Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, and Haryana with the production of 28.5, 15.73 and 11.23 million tons, respectively. The Uttar Pradesh ranked first in percentage share of wheat production (35.39%) followed by Punjab (19.80%) and Haryana (13.45%). In Uttar Pradesh, wheat is grown on 9.65 m ha area with total production of 33 million tons and average productivity of  $3177 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  which is considered to be low as compared to Punjab ( $4911 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and Haryana ( $4590 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ )”. [1] Fertilizers played a vital role in agriculture production and productivity in India. One of the major causes of wheat's low productivity, among other aspects, is weed management and seed rate.

$100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  seed rate is required for typical sowing conditions [11]. Planting density has a significant impact on wheat output and quality. When wheat is planted late, it struggles to germinate and doesn't have the ideal population unit $^{-1}$  area. Late wheat planting tends to reduce the number of germinations and tillers unit $^{-1}$  area due to a significant rise in temperature during the crop's tillering period, thus reducing production [16]. Higher seed rate is important for increasing wheat production potential because it compensates the poor tillering caused by the cold temperatures [13].

One of the best ways to increase the yield had been seen as increasing plant densities through increasing seed rates. Due to the varying seed sizes, sowing schedules, and sowing techniques used in various regions, the seed rate employed in different parts of India for wheat crop varies significantly, ranging from  $80$  to  $150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ . To ensure an

optimum and productive plant population for standard yields under late sown wheat, a substantially greater seed rate is recommended. Therefore, it is possible that a greater seed rate will result in more tillers unit<sup>-1</sup> area and a better grain yield for crops by suppressing the growth of weeds.

One of the main problems faced by farmers in their effort for a greater yield is the infestation of several weed species in wheat. A substantial infestation of grassy and wide leaf weeds in the wheat crop is also caused by the initial high soil moisture and open space. Little seed canary grass (*Phalaris minor*) is a very persistent weed species in wheat. There has been a considerable decrease in wheat production ranging from 18 to 73 % as a result of a severe weed infestation. In India, weeds significantly reduce agriculture productivity; the yearly financial losses are over Rs. 1650 crores [5]. Weed control during the early stages of wheat crop growth is important because a heavy weed infestation slows crop growth and results in a lower wheat yield. The common weeds found in wheat fields are *Phalaris minor*, *Cyperus rotundus*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Chenopodium album*, *Anagallis arvensis*, *Avena fatua*, *Convolvulus arvensis*, and other species. Such weeds alone decrease wheat yield by 33%. The farmers do not know the right quantity of herbicides to use, when to apply them, how much they cost, or how long they last in the soil. There are a variety of selective herbicides available that have been developed to function well with a specific plant. Farmers must decide which type of herbicide is most effective.

There are numerous alternatives, including manual weeding and herbicide treatment, for the effective management of weeds applied at time of sowing and throughout the subsequent crop growth stages. Clodinafop is specific to *Phalaris minor* and *A. ludoviciana* but is ineffective against broad-leaved weeds. A successive application of Clodinafop+Metsulfuron or Sulfosulfuron at 30-35 DAS was successful in resolving the issue of complex weed flora in wheat. The combination of metsulfuron and sulfosulfuron had the best weed control efficacy (91.5%) of all herbicidal treatments. Metsulfuron + sulfosulfuron, followed by metsulfuron + isoproturon, 2, 4-D + isoproturon, and 2,4-D + sulfosulfuron, significantly increased grain production [7] just because of timely weed management practices increases the NUE and also increases the source to sink conversion.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at Shradhay Bhagwati Singh Agriculture Research Farm (Hajipur), Chandra Bhanu Gupta Krishi Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Bakshi-Ka-Talab, Lucknow University, Lucknow (U.P.) during *rabi* season of 2021-2022. The experimental site is situated at 26.50° North latitude and 80.50° East longitude with an altitude of 123 meters above mean sea level. The soil of experimental field was silty-loam texture, slightly alkaline in reaction (8.00 pH), medium in organic carbon (0.70%) and available nitrogen (270 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) phosphorus (27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (262 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Twelve treatments comprised of three seed rate (100, 110 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and four weed control methods (Weed free upto 60 DAS, Sulfosulfuron@25g.a.i/ha at 30-35 DAS, Clodinafop + metsulfuron @ 60g/ha + 4g/ha at 30-35 DAS and Weedy check till maturity) were laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The wheat variety (PBW-502) was sown last week of November 2022, at 20 cm apart rows and harvested in second week of April 2023. All improved packages of practices were followed to raise the crop. The data on plant height at harvest and tillers at harvest were recorded from the area already marked by tagged. Sample for dry matter accumulation at harvest was recorded by cutting of plants 25 cm row length. The fresh samples were first sun dried and then kept in electric oven at 65-70°C till the constant dry weight attained. Yield attributes (Effective tillers m<sup>-2</sup> at harvest, Length of spike (cm) at harvest, Number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> at harvest, Grain weight (g) spike<sup>-1</sup> at harvest and Test weight (g) ) were recorded from 10 spikes selected randomly from each plot. Grain and straw yields of wheat were recorded at harvest. The harvest index was calculated as grain yield divided by total biological yield and multiplied by hundred. The uptake of nutrients was calculated as:

$$\text{Uptake of nutrient (kg/ha)} = (\text{Nutrient content (\%)} \times \text{Yield (kg/ha)}) / 100$$

Economics of different treatments was worked out on the basis of prevailing market prices. The data so obtained on various parameters were analysed as per standard statistical procedures. The content of N, P, and K in grain and straw was determined by using standard laboratory procedures.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Growth Attributes

Plant height, number of tillers, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation (Table 1) of wheat were affected significantly due to application of different seed rates and weed control techniques. Increasing seed rate up to 120 kg seeds  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  increased the plant height, number of tillers, leaf area and dry matter accumulation significantly over rest of the seed rates. The maximum plant height (93.26 cm), leaf area index (4.81), number of tillers ( $376.08 \text{ m}^{-2}$ ) and dry matter accumulation ( $1204.94 \text{ g m}^{-2}$ ) was recorded significantly with 120 kg seed rate  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  over rest of seed rate but being at par with 100 kg seed rate  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ . Significant improvement in growth parameters might be due to increasing seed rate led to an increased number of plants and increased number of tillers and this led to light interception and thereby increase in the efficiency of photosynthesis and translocation of photosynthetes to plant increased the growth attributes. These results are supported by the findings of Rajesh et al. [6] and Hussain et al. [3].

Different weed management practice affected the growth attributes statistically. Weed free upto 60 DAS recorded significantly taller plant, higher tillers, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation as compared to other weed control measures. The highest plant height (91.79 cm), leaf area index (4.9), number of tillers ( $373.88 \text{ m}^{-2}$ ) and dry matter ( $1301.68 \text{ g m}^{-2}$ ) was recorded with the weed free upto 60 DAS. It might be due to lesser crop – weed competition for space, light and moisture which improved the uptake of nutrient to crop. Similar results were obtained by Malik et al. [10] and Singh et al. [14].

### 3.2 Yield Attributes

Yield attributing characters (Table 2) like effective tillers  $\text{m}^{-2}$ , spike length (cm), number of grains spikes $^{-1}$ , grain weight (g) and test weight (g) were affected significantly due to different seed rate and weed control measures. “The number of efficient tillers dramatically increased with seed rates 120 kg  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ . The higher number of effective tillers with higher seed rate could be attributed to lesser intra and inter plant competition which leads to higher availability of space, moisture, nutrients and light to crop leads to higher number of tillers. With an increase in seed rates, the length of the spike (cm), number of grains spike $^{-1}$ , grain weight spike $^{-1}$ , and test weight (g) all significantly decreased. The treatment with 100 kg  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  seed rate recorded a significantly higher length of spike (11.75 cm), number of grains spike $^{-1}$  (41.20), grain weight (1.48 g) and test weight (40.18 g)”. Singh et al. [15] and Behzad et al. [17].

Among weed management practices, weed-free upto 60 DAS recorded higher number of effective tillers (358.33), grain spike $^{-1}$  (41.30), length of spikes (10.55), grain weight spike $^{-1}$  (1.47), and test weight (40.42 g). Higher yield attributes were recorded with weed free upto 60 DAS might be due to less crop-weed competition, allowing the crop to utilize inputs most effectively for the creation and development of yield qualities, leading to the greatest spike  $\text{m}^{-2}$ , spike length, number of grains spike $^{-1}$ , and spike test weight. These results are supported by the findings of Singh et al. [14] and Kumar et al. [8].

Treatments	Plant height (cm) at	Number of tillers $\text{m}^{-2}$ at	Leaf area index at 90	Dry matter accumulation (g)
------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------

	harvest	harvest	DAS	m <sup>-2</sup> ) at harvest
Seed rate (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
S1-100	84.53	337.50	3.93	1141.66
S2-110	91.23	356.83	4.20	1182.15
S3-120	93.26	376.08	4.81	1204.94
SEm±	0.37	0.91	0.04	15.40
CD at 5%	1.09	2.71	0.12	45.47
Weed management practices				
W <sub>1</sub> -Weed free upto 60 DAS	91.79	366.77	4.99	1301.68
W <sub>2</sub> -Sulfosulfuron @25 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> at 30-35 DAS	88.71	353.44	4.64	1212.07
W <sub>3</sub> -Clodinafop + metsulfuron @ 60g ha <sup>-1</sup> + 4g ha <sup>-1</sup> at 30-35(DAS)	90.54	359.00	4.82	1260.62
W <sub>4</sub> -Weedy check till maturity	87.67	348.00	2.80	1122.05
SEm±	0.94	8.35	0.25	5.36
CD at 5%	2.83	25.05	0.76	15.84
Interaction				
SEm±	1.26	9.11	0.24	18.54
CD at 5%	-	-	-	-

Treatments	Effective tillers m <sup>-2</sup> at harvest	Length of spike (cm) at harvest	No. of grain spike <sup>-1</sup> at harvest	Grain weight (g) spike <sup>-1</sup> at harvest	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Seed rate (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )							
S1-100	331.33	11.75	41.20	1.48	40.18	38.26	45.90
S2-110	348.00	9.79	37.27	1.36	38.92	41.52	46.40
S3-120	358.91	7.85	35.56	1.28	38.11	42.19	47.74
SEm±	2.82	0.08	0.27	0.008	0.15	0.22	0.25
CD at 5%	8.33	0.24	0.79	0.02	0.46	0.65	0.75
Weed management Practices							
W <sub>1</sub> -Weed free upto 60 DAS	358.33	10.55	41.30	1.47	40.42	42.18	48.45
W <sub>2</sub> -Sulfosulfuron@25g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> at 30-35 DAS	343.66	9.68	37.82	1.37	38.75	40.34	46.32
W <sub>3</sub> -Clodinafop + metsulfuron @ 60g ha <sup>-1</sup> + 4g ha <sup>-1</sup> at 30-35(DAS)	349.00	10.08	39.32	1.41	39.36	41.15	47.25
W <sub>4</sub> -Weedy check till maturity	333.33	8.87	33.63	1.24	37.75	38.94	44.71
SEm±	3.26	0.09	0.31	0.009	0.18	0.25	0.29
CD at 5%	9.62	0.28	0.92	0.02	0.53	0.44	0.86
Interaction							
SEm±	5.82	0.15	0.48	0.015	0.28	0.43	0.49
CD at 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### 3.3 Yield Studies

Due to seed rate, there was a significant variation in wheat yields. With an increase in seed rates from 100 to 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, the yields of grains, straw, and biological products increased significantly. The highest grain yield, straw yield, and biological yield were recorded under 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (42.19 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, 47.74 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 92.80 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was significantly higher than 110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (41.52 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, 46.40 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 89.30 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (38.26 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, 45.90 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 80.32 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. This might be due to increased seed rates increased the number of plants unit<sup>-1</sup> area, which in turn raised the number of spikes, and enhanced yield. These results are supported by the findings of Ramdhan, [12].

Different weed control methods statistically affected grain yield. In comparison to weedy check, all weed management methods had a considerably greater grain yield. Maximum grain and straw yields of 42.18 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 48.45 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, were obtained in weed-free fields up to 60 DAS. The greater yield in weed free conditions up to 60 DAS was caused by little crop-weed competition for light, nutrients, space, and moisture, which increased the availability of nutrients and improved their absorption, which in turn improved the development and synthesis of higher photosynthesites, which in turn improved yield characteristics and, therefore, the grain and straw yield increased under weed free conditions and herbicide mixture. However, heavy crop-weed competition under weedy check reduced the growth and yield attributes and grain and straw yield accordingly. These results are supported by the findings of Kumar et al. [8].

### 3.4 Nutrient Uptake

The uptake (Table 3) of N, P and K by wheat was also significantly affected by the different seed rates. Increasing seed rate increased the uptake of N, P and K in grain and straw significantly. Crop sown with 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly highest uptake of N (77.92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P(31.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K (18.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in grain and (6.55 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), (26.73 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and (82.45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in straw respectively over other seed rates. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake by crop was significantly influenced by application of various weed control measures. The highest N, P and K uptake in grain (79.33 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 27.77 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 17.68 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively) and straw (6.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 21.48 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 76.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in weed free condition and lowest in weedy check. These results are supported by the findings of (Kumar et al. [18], (Azad et al. [2] and Iqbal et al. [4].

### 3.5 Economics

Crop sown with 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> seed rate + weed free upto 60 DAS gave the highest gross income (Rs 111025 ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by 110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> seed rate + weed free upto 60 DAS (Rs 110541 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> seed rate + Clodinafop @ 60 a.i g ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Metsulfuron @ 4 a.i g ha<sup>-1</sup> (Rs 108815 ha<sup>-1</sup>). Crop sown with 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> seed rate + Clodinafop @ 60 a.i g ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Metsulfuron @ 4 a.i g ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest net return (Rs. 69036 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit cost ratio (1.73). Weedy check gave the lowest gross income, net income and BCR at all seed rates and weed management practices. The high net income and benefit cost ratio with 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> seed rate + Clodinafop @ 60 a.i g ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Metsulfuron @ 4 a.i g ha<sup>-1</sup> was due to higher increase in grain and straw yield proportionally lower cost of cultivation involved in this treatment. Lower profit and BCR with weedy check was due to lowest yield and proportionally high cost.

### 3. CONCLUSION

Post emergence spray of clodinafop + metsulfuron @ (60 + 4) g ha<sup>-1</sup> and seed rate of 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> should be adopted for obtaining effective control of weed, net income and benefit cost ratio under late sown condition.

Table 3. Nutrient uptake (kg/ha) in wheat as affected by seed rate and weed management practices						
Treatments	Nutrient uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
	Grain			Straw		
	N	P	K	N	P	K
Seed rate (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )						
S1-100	63.18	19.83	13.35	4.67	15.24	59.62
S2-110	72.91	26.90	17.33	6.32	20.88	73.15
S3-120	77.92	31.28	18.99	6.55	26.73	82.45
SEm ±	0.35	0.20	0.21	0.16	0.17	0.57
C.D at 5%	1.03	0.59	0.64	0.49	0.52	1.70
Weed management practices						
W <sub>1</sub> -Weed free upto 60 DAS	79.33	27.77	17.68	6.56	21.48	76.00
W <sub>2</sub> -Sulfosulfuron@25g.a.i/ha at 30- 35 DAS	70.09	25.26	16.16	5.57	20.75	71.44
W <sub>3</sub> -Clodinafop+metsulfuron @60g/ha + 4g/ha at 30-35(DAS)	72.19	27.12	16.99	6.38	20.89	73.44
W <sub>4</sub> -Weedy check till maturity	63.75	23.86	15.40	4.87	19.69	66.08
SEm ±	0.40	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.20	0.66
C.D at 5%	2.07	0.68	0.74	0.57	0.60	1.96
Interaction						
SEm±	0.69	0.37	0.38	0.32	0.33	1.12
CD at 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 4. Economics as affected by different seed rate and weed management practices.**

S.No	Treatment	Total Cost of Cultivation (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross Income (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net Income (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C Ratio (Rs Re <sup>-1</sup> invested)
1.	S1W1	47311	104223	56912	1.20
2.	S1W2	39729	98338	58609	1.47
3.	S1W3	39259	101158	61899	1.57
4.	S1W4	38611	94187	55576	1.43
5.	S2W1	47571	110541	62970	1.32
6.	S2W2	39989	106198	66209	1.65
7.	S2W3	39519	107838	68319	1.72
8.	S2W4	38871	103125	64254	1.65
9.	S3W1	47831	111025	63194	1.32
10.	S3W2	40249	107038	66789	1.65
11.	S3W3	39779	108815	69036	1.73
12.	S3W4	39131	103456	64325	1.64

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exists.

#### Author's contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

## REFERENCES

1. Anonymous, 2020. Government of India. Ministry of Agricultural and Farmers Welfare. Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare. Directorate of Economics and Statistics.
2. zad, B.S., B.D. Bhagat, S.V. Bali, D. Kachroo and S.C. Gupta, 2008. Response of late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) to seed rate and fertilized level. Indian J. of Agron. 4:653-656. A
3. Hussain, I., M.A. Khan and H. Khan, 2010. Effect of seed rates on the agro-physiological traits of wheat. Sarhad J. Agric., **26**(2): 169-176.
4. qbal, J., K. Hayat, S. Hussain, A. Ali and M. Ahmad, H.A. Alias Bakhsh, 2012. Effect of seeding rates and nitrogen levels on yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L) Pak. J. Nutri.,**11**(7): 531-536. I
5. oshi, N.C., 2002. "Manual of weed control". Research publication 7615-B, East Azad nagar, Delhi-110 051. J
6. ajesh, K., N. Visuddha, R.K. Dohrey, S. Kumar and A. Kumari, 2002. Effect of Seed Rate and Herbicides on Yield Attributes and Yield of Late Sown Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Int. J. Curr. Micro-biol. App. Sci. Special Issue-7: 2582-2589 R
7. hokhar, A.K. and V. Nepalia, 2010. Effect of herbicides and nutrient management on weed flora, nutrient uptake and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under irrigated conditions. Indian J. of Weed Sci.,**42**:14-18. K
8. mar, M., D. Ghosh and R. Singh, 2018. Effect of crop establishment and weed management practices on growth and yield of wheat. Indian J. Weed Sci. 50: 129-132. Ku
9. mar, M., D. Ghosh and R. Singh, 2018. Effect of crop establishment and weed management practices on growth and yield of wheat. Indian J. Weed Sci. 50: 129-132. Ku
10. k, R.S., A. Yadav and R. Kumar, 2013. Ready mix formulation of clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl against complex weed flora in wheat. Indian J. of weed Sci. 45 (3): 179-182. Mali
11. rasad, R., 2012. Textbook of Field Crops Production, Vol.1. Directorate of knowledge Management in Agriculture., ICAR., Krishi Anusandhan BHawan, New Delhi 110012 65 98. P
12. amdhan, M.N., 2013. Tillage systems and seed rate effects on yield components, seed yield and biological yield of barley cultivars. J. Basrah Res. Sci. 39: 33-46. R
13. ingh, G., O.P. Singh, R.A. Yadava and R.S. Singh, 1993. Response of wheat on planting method, seed rate and fertility in late sown condition. Indian J. of Agronomy., **41**(2):237-242. S
14. Singh, R., S. Shyam, V.K. Singh, J. Kumar, S.S. Yadav and S.K. Rathi, 2012. Evaluation of bio-efficacy of Clodinafop-propargyl + Metsulfuron-methyl against weeds in wheat. Indian J. of Weed Sci. **44** (2): 81-83.
15. ingh, H., G. Jha, S. Babu and A.K. Jha, 2013. Effect of seed rates and sowing depth on growth, yield attributes and yield of irrigated wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in Madhya Pradesh. Indian J. of Agro., **58** (2): 259-261. S
16. oomro, A., and F.C. Oad, 2002. Yield potentials of wheat genotypes under different planting times. J. of Applied

Sci., 2(7): 713-714.

17. B  
ehzad M.A., and A. Amani, 2020. Effect of seeding rate on growth and yield of wheat. *International J. of Adv. Acad. Studies*, 2(4): 483-485
18. K  
umar, B., M. Hasanain, M.D.B. Raza, R.B. Yadav, R.K. Singh, R. Mishra, G. Ahmad and D. Singh, 2021. Effect of weed management practices on nutrient uptake and productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 92 3: 405–7, March 2022/Short Communication <https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v92i3.122726>
19. K  
umar, M., Kumar, R., Patel, V. K., Suryabhan, & Prajapati, A. K., 2023. The Effect of Weed Management Practices on the Growth, Yields and Productivity of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 35(19), 644–652. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2023/v35i193594>
20. I  
jaz Ahamd Khan, Jehan Bakht, Wajid Ali Shah, Naeem Khan and Ihsan Ullah, 2002. Effect of Seed Rate on the Yield and Yield Components of Wheat under Irrigated Conditions of Peshawar. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 1: 513-515.
21. S  
ingh M, Kumar R, Gaur RS, Singh J, Singh SP. Effect of nutrient management and weed control practices on growth, yield attributes, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of wheat crop (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2021; 10(10): 2254-2257