

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_108710</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Studies on selection indices for wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) improvement using interrelationship and path analysis under sub-tropical conditions of Indore region</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1 - The manuscript is important to the scientific community because it deals with genotypes and phenotypes of specific varieties of a product of economic importance in the agricultural area.</p> <p>2 - The title matches the manuscript</p> <p>3 - The abstract of the manuscript is not as explicit as to what is proposed throughout the text and what the methodology itself reports.</p> <p>4 - Yes.</p> <p>5 - I believe that to demonstrate greater reliability, a control sample should be presented within the experiment. In this case, I don't know for sure whether the authors carried out this methodology.</p> <p>6 - Approximately 60% of references are over 20 years old or even more.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Juliana Neves Barbosa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Minas Gerais, Brazil</b>