

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108584
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of climate variables on occurrence of rice earhead bug, <i>Leptocorisa oratorius</i> (F.) (Hemiptera: Alydidae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Selection of the problem is appropriate and it has scientific importance for improving yield of selected rice variety by following weather based IPM strategies. In the present manuscript, authors studied the impact of weather parameters on occurrence of rice earhead bug, <i>Leptocorisa oratorius</i>. The article is well written and collected enough references covering top to bottom of this work. I hope this article is fit for the publication in IJECC journal after incorporating minor corrections mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. Yes, title of the article is more suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. All subsections are appropriate and well written only conclusion part need to be included after results and discussion section</p> <p>5. The manuscript is written scientifically except for the corrections suggested in the manuscript</p> <p>6. The references given in text are sufficient and relevant, if possible please add few more recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language/English quality of the article is suitable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I suggest to include all the corrections mentioned in the manuscript and please give clearly for the best chemical treatment for improving moringa seed yield in abstract and conclusion part. Include appropriate statistical methods in materials and methods part.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Selvi
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India